RANGE TREND STUDY METHODS

Studies monitoring range trend depend greatly on site selection, especially when dealing with large geographic areas such as wildlife management units. Since it is impossible to intensively monitor all vegetative or habitat types within a unit, it is necessary to concentrate on specific sites and/or “key” areas within distinct plant communities on big game ranges. These “key” areas should be places where big game have demonstrated a definite pattern of use during normal climatic conditions over a long period of time. Trend studies are located within these areas of high use and/or critical habitat as agreed upon by DWR, BLM, and USFS personnel. Often, range trend studies are established in conjunction with permanently marked pellet group transects. Once a "key” area has been selected, specific placement for sampling is determined. The sampling grid is carefully placed in order to adequately represent the surrounding area. All sampling baselines are permanently marked by half-high steel fence posts. The first, or beginning baseline stake, is marked with a metal tag for proper identification of the transect.

Vegetative composition

Determining vegetational characteristics for each “key” area is determined by setting up 5 consecutive 100 foot baseline transects in the area of interest. This 500 foot line is the baseline and one, 100 foot belt is placed perpendicular to each 100 foot section of the baseline at random foot marks and centered on the 50 foot mark. The beginning of each belt is marked by a rebar stake to ensure a more precise alignment of the originally sampled belt. A 1/4 m^2 quadrat is centered every 5 feet along the same side of the belt, starting at the 5 foot mark. Cover and nested frequency values are determined for vegetation, litter, rock, pavement, cryptogams, and bare ground. Cover and nested frequency values are also estimated for all plant species occurring within a quadrat, including annual species.

Cover is determined using an ocular cover estimation procedure using 7 cover classes (Bailey and Poulton, 1968, Daubenmire 1969). The seven cover classes are: 1) .01-1%, 2) 1.1-5%, 3) 5.1-25%, 4) 25.1-50%, 5) 50.1-75%, 6) 75.1-95%, and 7) 95.1-100%. For example, to estimate vegetative cover with this method, an observer would visualize which cover class all the vegetation would fit into if the plants were moved together until they were touching. To quantify percent cover for bare ground, litter, rock, pavement, and cryptogams, the observer would visually estimate which cover class could accommodate all of the specified cover type within the quadrat. These numbers are then recorded. To determine percent cover for each belt, the midpoint for each cover class value observed is summed and divided by the number of sampling quadrats (20). The mean for the five belts is the average for a given site.



Cover Classes
1/4 m^2 Quadrat

Total canopy cover of shrubs or trees is estimated using the line-intercept method. The distance along each belt covered by a particular species of tree or shrub is divided by the total length of the line to give percent canopy cover. Prior to 2002, only canopy cover above eye level was estimated.

Nested frequency values for the quadrat range from 1-5 according to which area or sub-quadrat the plant species or cover type is rooted in. The notation for each sub-quadrat is as follows: 5 = 1% of the area, 4 = 5% of the area, 3 = 25% of the area, 2 = 50% of the area, and 1 = the remainder of the quadrat. Each time a particular plant species or cover type occurs within the quadrat, it is scored relative to which of the smallest nested quadrats it is rooted in (in the case of vegetation) or where it first occurs (for all other cover types). The highest possible score is 5 for each quadrat occurrence and 100 per belt, for a possible score of 500 for each species or cover type at a given site.



Nested Frequency
1/4 m² Quadrat

Higher nested frequency scores represent a higher abundance for that plant species or cover type. These summed values are used to help determine changes in trend and composition through time. Nested frequency has been found to be a more sensitive measurement for changes taking place within plant communities than quadrat frequency (Smith et al. 1987, Smith et al. 1986, Mosley et al. 1986). Plant cover and density values are not reliable indicators of trend for herbaceous species and can fluctuate greatly with precipitation and time of season sampled. Therefore, plant cover and density values can be misleading if used by themselves and do not necessarily indicate changes in composition and/or distribution of key plant species.

Nested frequency and average percent cover data for individual grass and forb species are summarized in the "Herbaceous Trends" table. Nested frequency and average cover of vegetation, rock, pavement, litter, cryptogams, and bare ground are summarized in the "Basic Cover" table.

Shrub densities are estimated using five, 1/100th acre strips centered over the length of each 100 foot belt. All shrubs rooted within each strip are counted and placed in the following five classes. ([1]U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management 1996).

Seedling: Plants up to three years old which have become firmly established, usually less than 1/8-inch diameter.

Young: Larger with more complex branching. Does not show signs of maturity. Usually between 1/8 and 1/4-inch diameter.

Mature: Complex branching, rounded growth form, larger size, seed is produced on healthy plants. Generally larger than 1/4-inch diameter.

Decadent: Plant, regardless of age, that is in a state of decline, usually evidenced by 25% or more dead branches.

Dead: A plant which is no longer living.

Shrubs are also rated according to their availability and the amount of use they display, and placed in one of 9 form classes.

1. All available, lightly hedged.

2. All available, moderately hedged.

3. All available, heavily hedged.

4. Largely available, lightly hedged.

5. Largely available, moderately hedged.

6. Largely available, heavily hedged.

7. Mostly unavailable.

8. Unavailable due to height.

9. Unavailable due to hedging.

Lightly hedged: 0 to 40 percent of twigs browsed.

Moderately hedged: 41 to 60 percent of twigs browsed.

Heavily hedged: Over 60 percent of twigs browsed. Degree of hedging is based on leader use over the past three years: current annual growth is not included.

Largely available: One-third to two-thirds of plant available to animal.

Mostly unavailable: Less than one-third of plant available to animal.

In classifying browse to a form class, unavailability may be the result of height, location, or density.

Shrubs are also rated on their health and placed into one of 4 vigor classes.

1. Normal and vigorous.

2. Insect infested or diseased.

3. Poor vigor - chlorotic or discolored leaves, smaller than normal stems or leaves, flowering restricted, partially trampled, pulled up, or otherwise damaged. Stunted growth, partial crown death.

4. Dying - substantial portion of crown dead (more than 50%), more extreme than 3 above. Probably an irreversible condition.

In addition, each mature shrub species closest to every 10 foot mark along a sampling belt is measured to determine average height and crown. This allows a maximum sample of 50 plants per species to be measured at a given site depending on their respective densities. Annual leader growth is estimated for key browse species at each study site. This is done by measuring five leaders on the closest mature shrub in each quarter

(similar to point-center quarter method) from 3 stakes along the study site baseline (0', 200' and 400' stakes). These numbers are then averaged. Tree density is determined using the point-center quarter method at two hundred foot intervals along the baseline. Three hundred feet are added to the end of the transect so that five, 200 foot point-quarter centers can be read. This allows sampling trees on a much larger scale. The strip method that is used to estimate shrub density, can in most cases, effectively inventory seedling and young tree densities. However, the strip method is less effective at estimating densities of mature trees that are often widely disbursed.

Prior to 1992, shrub frequency was determined using the nested frequency method that was previously described. It was found that nested frequency of shrubs did not usually reflect accurate trends in shrub populations which had particularly low or high densities. Therefore, beginning in mid-1992, each 1/100th acre shrub strip is divided into 20, five foot segments. To give a more accurate measure of shrub frequency, presence or absence of shrub species is determined within these strip segments, and this measurement is termed strip frequency. For example, if a species was rooted in 25 of the 100 shrub strips, strip frequency for this species would be 25%. This larger sample will better reflect changing trends in shrub populations. This data along with shrub cover is recorded in the "Browse Trends" table.

TREND DETERMINATION

The methods described above rely on relative and absolute measurements of plant composition as determined from the frequency, cover, and density data. In addition, estimates of plant vigor, average height and crown diameter, form class, and age class are utilized to characterize shrub populations. Particular attention is given to woody plants and their important role as indicators on critical winter ranges. A variety of parameters are used to help determine trend for key browse species through time. These include:

1) changes in density or number of plants/acre
2) proportion of decadent plants, and the percentage of decadent plants that are classified as dying
3) biotic potential or proportion of seedlings to the population
4) proportion of young plants in population
5) proportion of individuals moderately or heavily browsed
6) proportion of plants in poor vigor
7) changes in height and crown diameter measurements for mature age class
8) changes in browse species composition
9) strip frequency values
10) proportion of cover contributed by key species

Trends in herbaceous plants as a group or as a single "key" species can be determined by comparing the sum of nested frequency values between readings. Attention is also given to changes in species composition of grasses and forbs through time. A non-parametric statistical test (Friedman test which is analogous to analysis of variance) (Conover 1980) is conducted on nested frequencies of each species to determine significant changes at alpha = .10. Ground cover parameters are analyzed and compared in the discussions of the reread studies. Trends for soil are determined by comparing basic ground cover measurements and cover composition (herbs vs shrubs) between years as well as comparing photos and observer observations between readings. A ratio of the nested frequency values of protective cover types (vegetation, litter, and cryptogams) to bare soil can also be used to help determine changes in soil trend. Beginning in 2002, an erosion condition class assessment adapted from the Bureau of Land Management is also completed on each study site to provide additional qualitative information on soil condition. On newly established studies, a more subjective or apparent assessment is made from qualitative comparisons.

The following tables and partial tables are taken from study number 23-1 to help illustrate some basic comparisons that can be made with the data. The "Herbaceous Trends" table summarizes average cover and nested frequency data for individual grass and forb species. The table contains all the grass and forb species that have been sampled on study 23-1. Readings prior to mid-1992 include only nested frequency data for *perennial* species. Beginning in mid-1992, all trend studies have data for perennial and annual species as well as cover estimates for individual species.

In the following example, grasses had a combined total cover value of 11.39% in 1998 and 7.08% in 2003. In 1985 and 1991, bluebunch wheatgrass (*Agropyron spicatum*) had a nested frequency value of 227 out of a possible nested frequency score of 400. By 1998, nested frequency declined to 183. The subscript letters indicate that the nested frequency value for *A. spicatum* between 1991 and 1998 declined significantly. Nested frequency declined to 160 in 2003, but the subscript letters indicate that this was not a significant change. Cover was estimated at 7.78% for *A. spicatum* in 1998 declining to 5.59% in 2003. Trend for this grass is down over the life of the transect due to a significant decline in sum of nested frequency since 1991.

HERBACEOUS TRENDS --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Type	Species	Nested Frequency				Average Cover %	
		'85	'91	'98	'03	'98	'03
G	Agropyron spicatum	$_{b}$227	$_{b}$227	$_{a}$183	$_{a}$160	7.78	5.59
G	Bromus tectorum (a)	-	-	$_{b}$42	$_{a}$15	.43	.03
G	Oryzopsis hymenoides	4	12	12	5	.17	.04
G	Poa fendleriana	$_{a}$6	$_{bc}$36	$_{c}$49	$_{ab}$24	.98	.46
G	Poa secunda	$_{a}$3	$_{a}$18	$_{b}$94	$_{b}$80	2.00	.94
G	Sitanion hystrix	$_{c}$25	$_{bc}$20	$_{ab}$6	$_{a}$2	.01	.01
Total for Annual Grasses		0	0	42	15	0.43	0.03
Total for Perennial Grasses		265	313	344	271	10.95	7.05
Total for Grasses		265	313	386	286	11.39	7.08
F	Agoseris glauca	$_{a}$-	$_{a}$10	$_{ab}$1	$_{a}$-	.00	-
F	Arabis spp.	$_{a}$-	$_{b}$18	$_{a}$1	$_{a}$1	.00	.00
F	Astragalus convallarius	2	4	6	6	.15	.10
F	Calochortus nuttallii	4	8	-	-	-	-
F	Crepis acuminata	-	6	7	-	.06	-
F	Eriogonum racemosum	-	-	4	-	.03	-
F	Eriogonum umbellatum	$_{a}$-	$_{a}$1	$_{b}$9	$_{ab}$5	.16	.07
F	Phlox austromontana	-	6	4	6	.16	.15
F	Physaria chambersii	1	4	-	-	-	-
F	Phlox longifolia	$_{a}$8	$_{b}$27	$_{a}$16	$_{a}$6	.20	.02
Total for Annual Forbs		0	0	0	0	0.00	0
Total for Perennial Forbs		15	84	48	24	0.83	0.35
Total for Forbs		15	84	48	24	0.83	0.35

Values with different subscript letters are significantly different at alpha = .10 (annuals excluded)

In 1985, perennial grasses had a sum of nested frequency value of 265. This value steadily increased to 313 in 1991 and 344 in 1998 before declining to 271 in 2003. These changes would indicate a slightly upward perennial grass trend from 1985 to 1998 and a stable trend overall for the life of the transect. The forb trend can be determined in a similar manner. The herbaceous understory trend is determined using both the grass and forb sum of nested frequency values. For example, total herbaceous cover was 12.23% in 1998 with grasses providing the bulk of the cover. Therefore, when determining herbaceous trend, the grass proportion should be weighted more heavily then the forb proportion in this example.

The following "Browse Trends" table summarizes strip frequency and cover for all shrub species occurring on this site. All of the shrubs encountered at study number 23-1 are listed. For example, mountain big sagebrush (*Artemisia tridentata vaseyana)* had a strip frequency of 40 out of a possible 100 in 1998, declining to 26 in 2003. Average cover is determined using cover classes in conjunction with the $1/4m^2$ quadrat and estimating the percent of the quadrat covered. In this case, mountain big sagebrush cover was estimated to be 2.54% in 1998, declining to only 0.76% in 2003.

BROWSE TRENDS --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Type	Species	Strip Frequency		Average Cover %	
		'98	'03	'98	'03
B	Artemisia nova	35	26	2.24	2.41
B	Artemisia tridentata vaseyana	40	26	2.54	.76
B	Gutierrezia sarothrae	2	0	-	-
B	Juniperus osteosperma	4	5	5.51	9.29
B	Opuntia spp.	1	2	.15	-
B	Pinus edulis	4	6	5.99	8.81
B	Purshia tridentata	18	15	3.20	4.31
Total for Browse		104	80	19.63	25.58

To more accurately estimate canopy cover of trees and shrubs, the line-intercept method is used along each 100 foot belt. This data is reported in the "Canopy Cover, Line Intercept" table. For example, Utah juniper (*Juniperus osteosperma*) had an estimated average cover of 23.31% in 2003. Prior to 2002, only trees species were sampled in the line-intercept transect. Beginning in 2002, all woody species are included in the line-intercept transect and a canopy cover value for each is determined. Live browse cover is measured along the belt transects and converted to percent cover. Gaps of six inches or more lacking live browse cover are excluded.

CANOPY COVER, LINE INTERCEPT --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Species	Percent Cover	
	'98	'03
Artemisia nova	-	1.85
Artemisia tridentata vaseyana	-	.55
Juniperus osteosperma	7.19	23.31

Beginning in 2002, annual leader growth of the key browse species is measured to get an idea of shrub production and vigor. This data is displayed in the "Key Browse Annual Leader Growth" table. For example, annual leaders on bitterbrush (*Purshia tridentata*) averaged 4 inches in length while mountain big sagebrush leaders averaged only 1.1 inches in 2003.

KEY BROWSE ANNUAL LEADER GROWTH --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Species	Average leader growth (in)
	'03
Artemisia tridentata vaseyana	1.1
Purshia tridentata	4.0

The following "Point-Quarter Tree Data" table displays tree density estimates using the point-center quarter method which better estimates density of widely disbursed trees than the shrub density strips. Average basal diameter is also listed in inches. Data from 2003 estimated 197 juniper and 119 pinyon trees/acre with average basal diameters of 7.0 inches and 5.3 inches respectively.

POINT-QUARTER TREE DATA --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Species	Trees per Acre		Average diameter (in)	
	'98	'03	'98	'03
Juniperus osteosperma	213	197	8.8	7.0
Pinus edulis	115	119	4.8	5.3

The "Basic Cover" table summarizes average cover of vegetation, rock, pavement, litter, cryptogams, and bare ground. Average cover prior to mid-1992 adds up to only 100%, while cover with the current method (post mid-1992) estimates several layers of plant and ground cover and will usually exceed 100%. For vegetation cover, the previous method only determined basal vegetative cover (2.0 and 5.75), while the new method estimates the vertical projection of the crown, or aerial cover (30.04 and 32.5%). Therefore, comparisons can be made for all cover measurements except for general vegetation cover.

BASIC COVER --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Cover Type	Average Cover %			
	'85	'91	'98	'03
Vegetation	2.00	5.75	30.04	32.50
Rock	6.00	5.25	11.18	13.20
Pavement	30.50	24.25	26.32	19.74
Litter	46.50	46.50	42.49	37.44
Cryptogams	5.00	3.00	.93	3.45
Bare Ground	10.00	15.25	21.42	13.10

A summary of the soil data is found in the "Soil Analysis Data" table. Effective rooting depth is an average of 25 soil penetrometer readings, 5 of the deepest probes possible near each of the 5 baseline starting stakes. The effective rooting depth is a relative index that can be used for site comparisons with regard to individual species differences, site preferences, and abundance. Average soil temperature is taken from the deepest probe, one at each of the 5 baseline starting stakes. The temperature is listed in the table as the top measurement (e.g., 62.3°F), with the average depth (in inches) as the lower measurement (12.7). Average soil temperature is re-measured with each reading and the most current soil temperature and depth is listed in the soil analysis table. Chemical and textural characteristics are also listed and were determined by laboratory analysis of a composite soil sample taken near each of the 5 baseline starting stakes.

SOIL ANALYSIS DATA --
Management unit 23, Study # 01, Study Name: Bear Ridge

Effective rooting depth (in)	Temp °F (depth)	pH	%sand	%silt	%clay	%OM	PPM P	PPM K	ds/m
11.2	62.3 (12.7)	7.3	40.0	33.4	26.6	3.4	9.0	57.6	0.5

The descriptive terms used for ranges in pH are as follows:

Ultra acid	< 3.5
Extremely acid	3.5-4.4
Very strongly acid	4.5-5.0
Strongly acid	5.1-5.5
Moderately acid	5.6-6.0
Slightly acid	6.1-6.5
Neutral	6.6-7.3
Slightly alkaline	7.4-7.8
Moderately alkaline	7.9-8.4
Strongly alkaline	8.5-9.0
Very strongly alkaline	> 9.1

Percent organic matter (% OM) refers to the amount of organic matter in the top 12 inches of the soil profile. Parts per million (ppm) of phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) are also included. Values for phosphorus and potassium less than 10 ppm and 70 ppm respectively may be limiting to plant growth and development (Tiedemann and Lopez 2004).

The electrical conductivity of the soil is reported in decisiemens per meter (dS/m). Electrical conductivity is related to the amount of salts more soluble than gypsum in the soil. The following classes can be used as a reference.

Non saline	0-2
Very slightly saline	2-4
Slightly saline	4-8
Moderately saline	8-16
Strongly saline	>16

To determine how rock is distributed throughout the upper soil profile, a stoniness index is determined for each study site. Depth to the nearest rock is estimated on the first 10 feet (at one-foot intervals) along each of the 5 baselines, which allows 50 measurements. These data are then analyzed for each of the 5 incremental decimeter measurements, making it possible to visually determine the proportion (relative percent of rock at each depth) of rock from <1 decimeter to >5 decimeters. In the following example, most of the rock in the soil profile (~65%) was encountered in the 1 to 2 decimeter (4 to 8 inch) depth range. The distribution of rock in the soil profile can be an important factor for what is growing on the site.



The "Pellet Group Data" table summarizes the frequency of animal pellets sampled within the 100 quadrats placed along the sampling belts as well as data from a pellet group transect read parallel to the study site baseline. Quadrat frequency of wildlife and livestock droppings is included in reports done prior to mid-1992. For example in 1998, rabbit pellets were found in 25% of the quadrats placed on study 23-1, increasing to 32% in 2003. Quadrat frequency of rabbit or big game pellets indicate a relative amount of use by that particular animal. This data can help characterize changes in wildlife use patterns on the site.

PELLET GROUP DATA --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

Type	Quadrat Frequency		Days use/acre (ha)	
	'98	'03	'98	'03
Rabbit	25	32	-	-
Elk	4	-	7 (17)	1 (3)
Deer	36	20	51 (125)	54 (134)

It was determined that additional information on pellet groups was necessary. Therefore, a pellet group transect is now sampled in conjunction with the vegetative transects. The pellet group transect utilizes 50, $100ft^2$ circular plots which are placed through the study area. These are usually two parallel transects of 25 plots on each side of the vegetative transect which runs 500 feet in length. The number of recent pellet groups for wildlife (usually deer and elk) and pats for cattle are recorded. That number is then converted to days use per acre. In the above example, deer days use/acre was estimated at 51 in 1998 increasing slightly to 54 in 2003. If a trend study needs to be read annually and more precision is required, the pellet group transect is marked permanently (rebar) and the pellet groups within the circular plots are removed or marked after being counted.

The “Browse Characteristics” table summarizes characteristics of the shrub community on study 23-1. Only mountain big sagebrush is included in this example. The sagebrush population is characterized by age class, vigor, utilization, and average height and crown for mature plants. Total density in plants/acre for mountain big sagebrush, excluding seedlings, was 1,400 in 1985, 1,065 in 1991, 1,100 in 1998, and 840 plants/acre in 2003. Seedlings are excluded from the population estimate because with summer drought, many will die by late fall causing great fluctuations in population estimates between sampling dates. Since mid-1992, a larger shrub sample (more than three times larger) is used to better characterize the shrub populations. Therefore, changes in density (before and after 1992) may not necessarily indicate changes in trend, especially shrub populations that characteristically are clumped and/or have discontinuous distributions. The earlier smaller sample could easily either overestimate or underestimate shrub populations. Other characteristics like percent of the population classified as dying, percent decadence, percent of the population displaying poor vigor, percent heavy hedging, young recruitment, etc. should be given more weight in determining shrub trend when comparing survey years where sample sizes are different.

BROWSE CHARACTERISTICS --
Management unit 23 , Study no: 1

		Age class distribution (plants per acre)					Utilization					
Year	Plants per Acre (excluding seedlings)	Seedling	Young	Mature	Decadent	Dead	% moderate	% heavy	% decadent	% dying	% poor vigor	Average Height Crown (in)
Artemisia tridentata vaseyana												
85	**1400**	266	200	400	800	-	67	24	57	-	14	13/15
91	**1065**	333	333	66	666	-	19	6	63	11	38	12/13
98	**1100**	-	100	260	740	2300	56	2	67	27	40	15/23
03	**840**	-	120	140	580	1740	29	0	69	40	40	14/21

The data on mountain big sagebrush shows the proportion of decadent shrubs in the population has steadily increased from 57% in 1985 to 69% by 2003. Plants classified as dying had also increased to 40% by 2003. More seedlings were encountered in 1985 and 1991, with slight fluctuations in the number of young plants. Dead plants, included in sampling after 1992, are abundant at 2,300 plants/acre in 1998 and 1,740 in 2003, and outnumber live plants by a ratio of 2:1 in both years. The percentage of plants displaying poor vigor has increased from 14% in 1985 to 40% in 1998 and 2003. The proportion of shrubs displaying heavy hedging declined from 24% in 1985, to 6% in 1991, and 0% by 2003. The proportion of shrubs displaying moderate use has ranged from 67% in 1985 to 19% in 1991. The average height of mature sagebrush has remained similar in all readings and averaged 14 inches in 2003. Average crown diameter has fluctuated from 13 inches in 1991 to 23 inches in 1998.

Considering all these factors, trend for sagebrush in 2003 is slightly downward due to a decline in density, increased decadence, and an higher proportion of plants classified as dying. No seedlings were encountered in 1998 or 2003 and young plants are only moderately abundant.

Management background information, photographs, and knowledgeable plant identification add to the database for each site. Management and background information for each site is obtained from the administering agency. Permanently located photographs are taken including a general view down and back up the baseline. A close-up of each half-high baseline post further characterizes individual sites. Correct plant identification is critical for a complete and accurate site analysis. Species identification mostly follows "A Utah Flora" (Welsh et al. 2003). In some cases, most notably *Agropyron* and *Purshia*, the species names used by the Range Trend Study Plant Species List (Giunta 1983) and the Intermountain Flora (Cronquist et al. 1977) are retained to maintain continuity and alleviate confusion with earlier published reports.

Range trend data has been collected throughout Utah since 1982. In addition to determining trends on winter ranges, a system to determine the condition of these areas was needed. The desirable components index (DCI) was created by Range Trend Project personnel as a tool to address condition and/or value of winter ranges for mule deer. This subjective index is used primarily to determine if a particular site has the vegetation components necessary to be a good winter range for mule deer. Winter range condition is scored based upon several important vegetation components such as, preferred browse cover, shrub decadence and young recruitment, cover of perennial grasses, perennial forbs, and annual grasses (Clements and Young 1997; Olson 1992; Plummer et al. 1968; Stevens 2004; Wasley 2004). This index is used as one of many factors in deer herd management. It can also be used to identify areas where habitat restoration projects may be needed and assist land managers in determining possible rehabilitation options.

Ideal mule deer winter range provides 12-20% of preferred browse cover, shrub decadence is 20% or less, and has 10% or more of the shrub population that is young. The herbaceous understory contains 8-15% perennial grass cover, 5% perennial forb cover, and less than 5% annual grass cover. The DCI ratings are divided into three categories of winter range based different ecological potential, these include: Lower potential sites (Wyoming big sagebrush and desert shrubs), Mid-level potential (mountain big sagebrush), and High potential (mountain brush communities).

Desirable Components Index Ratings

Lower potential sites (Wyoming Big Sagebrush and Desert Shrub Communities)

> 65 points =	Excellent
45 – 64	Good
25 – 44	Fair
10 – 24	Poor
< 10	Very poor

Mid level potential sites (Mountain Big Sagebrush)

> 80 points =	Excellent
79 – 65	Good
64 – 50	Fair
49 – 35	Poor
< 35	Very poor

Higher potential sites (Mountain Brush Communities)

> 90 points =	Excellent
89 – 70	Good
69 – 55	Fair
54 – 40	Poor
< 39	Very poor

(Black sagebrush and Basin big sagebrush will be placed in Wyoming or Mountain big sagebrush scales based on precipitation and elevation).

Desirable Components Index Scoring

Preferred Browse (60 points)
(Preferred Browse species are favorable or critical to deer)

Preferred Browse Cover (30 pts. possible)
1.5 points for each 1% of preferred browse cover (maximum is 20% or 30 points)

Percent Decadence* (15 points possible)
-0.3 points for each 1% decadence (do not exceed 15 points)

Percent Young* (15 points possible)
0.5 points for each 1% of young

Herbaceous Understory (40 points)

Perennial Grass Cover (30 points possible)
2 points for each 1% cover

Perennial Forb Cover (10 points possible)
2 points for each 1% cover

Annual Grass Cover (-20 points possible)
-0.75 points for each 1% cover

Noxious Weeds (State List)
-2 points for each species present

*If the total preferred browse cover for the year is below 5%, then no points are awarded for percent young in population and percent decadence.

REFERENCES

Bailey, A. W. & C. E. Poulton. 1968. Plant communities and environmental interrelationships in a portion of the Tillomook burn, Northwest Oregon. Ecology. Vol 49, No. 1. pp. 1-13.

Clements, C. D., and J. A. Young. 1997. A viewpoint: Rangeland health and mule deer habitat. Journal of Range Management 50:129-138.

Conover, W. J. 1980. Practical Nonparametric Statistics (second edition). John Wiley & Sons, New York. 493pp.

Cronquist, A., A. H. Holmgren, N. H. Holmgren, J. Reveal and P. Holmgren. 1977. Intermountain Flora (volume six). Columbia University Press, New York. 584pp.

Daubenmire, R. 1959. A canopy coverage method of vegetational analysis. Northwest Science 33:43-66.

Giunta, B. C. 1983. Utah interagency big game range trend plant species list. Utah Dept. Of Natural Resources, Division of Wildlife Resources. Salt Lake City, Utah.

Mosley, J. C., S. C. Bunting, and M. Hironaka. 1986. Determining range condition from frequency data in mountain meadows of central Idaho. J. Range Manage. 39:561-565.

Olson, R. 1992. Mule deer habitat requirements and management in Wyoming. Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming. 17 p.

Plummer, A. P., D. R. Christensen, and S. B. Monsen. 1968. Restoring big game range in Utah. Ephraim, UT: Utah Division of Fish and Game. 183 p.

Stevens, R. 2004. Incorporating wildlife habitat needs into restoration and rehabilitation projects. In: S. B. Monsen, R. Stevens and N. L. Shaw [EDS.]. Restoring Western Ranges and Wildlands United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station p. 155-173.

Tiedemann, A. R. and C. F. Lopez. 2004. Assessing soil factors in Wildland Improvement Programs. *In:* S. B. Monson, R. Stevens, and N. L. Shaw (comps) Restoring western ranges and wildlands. Gen. Tech Rep. RMRS-GTR-136-vol-1. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. Ft Collins, CO. p. 39-56.

Smith, S. D., S. C. Bunting, and M. Hironaka. 1987. Evaluation of the improvement in sensitivity of nested frequency plots to vegetational change by summation. Great Basin Naturalist. 47(2): 299-307.

Smith, S. D., S. C. Bunting, and M. Hironaka. 1986. Sensitivity of frequency plots for detecting vegetation change. Northwest Science. 60:279-286.

[1]U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management. 1996. Utilization Studies and Residual Measurements, Interagency Technical Reference, BLM/RS/ST-96/004+1730.

[2]U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Land Management. 1996. Sampling vegetation attributes, Interagency Technical Reference, BLM/RS/ST-96/002+1730.

Wasley, T. 2004. Nevada's mule deer population dynamics: Issues and influences. Nevada's Mule Deer Biological Bulletin 14:76.

Welsh, S. L., N. D. Atwood, S. Goodrich, and L. C. Higgins. 2003. A Utah Flora. 3rd ed. Provo, UT: Brigham Young University. 986 p.

REPORT FORMAT

An introductory segment at the beginning of each wildlife management unit categorizes the trend studies and provide references to further information on winter range limits, land ownership patterns, livestock management practices, and management unit objectives.

The name of the site and directions for locating the site are given on the location page. Also included on this page are the vegetation type, arrangement and diagrammatic sketch of the baseline, and the location on a topographical map. The 7.5 minute topographical map name and public land survey description are located below the map. In addition, UTM coordinates follow the public land survey location. Compass bearings are in degrees relative to magnetic north, unless specified as true north (T).

A discussion of the study site includes descriptions of the site's physical characteristics (elevation, slope, aspect), soil, ground cover, vegetative community, and species composition. The trend assessment is based upon the comparison of the recent year and the previous years data. Additional assessment is made by comparing photographs from year to year.

Tables with the compiled data follow the study discussions. A computer-generated data summary presents the pooled data for nested frequency, quadrat frequency, basic ground cover, soil characterization, shrub density, and shrub characterization. A nonparametric statistical analysis, the Friedman test, is performed on the nested frequency values between years. This analysis indicates significance levels between species over time at alpha = 0.10. Significant changes are indicated in the herbaceous trends table with subscript letters.

Summaries and evaluations at the end of each management unit address range trends in these key areas. This report will serve to identify and verify changes that are occurring on key areas for big game.