July/August 2025 RAC Proposals Feedback July 16, 2025 7:04 pm

Form Name: Submission Time:

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Strongly agree

the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Do you have any comments about I believe that this is something that will help us get more accurate and thorough data on harvest. I assume that electric tagging will aslo fufull the harvest reporting requirement in a more timely, accurate and streamlined way. I have seen physical tags get ripped off and lost during packouts and this would eliminate that issue.

Form Name: July/August 2025 RAC Proposals Feedback Submission Time: July 16, 2025 8:16 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to the surrender and variance rules?

Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to the sportsman permits drawing and group applications?

Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Strongly agree

July/August 2025 RAC Proposals Feedback July 16, 2025 8:24 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Strongly disagree

the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Do you have any comments about i feel this opens up more opportunities for poaching animals and nobody has ever complained about notching a piece of paper.

July/August 2025 RAC Proposals Feedback July 16, 2025 9:12 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to the surrender and variance rules?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about Yes, this makes it simpler and easier for modern people. **the recommended changes to the surrender and variance rules?**

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to the surrender and variance rules? Strongly disagree

the recommended changes to the This is getting beyond tiring. surrender and variance rules?

Do you have any comments about Where do these stupid new rules end? When is enough, enough?

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to the sportsman permits drawing and group applications?

Strongly disagree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Strongly disagree

the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Do you have any comments about Stick to the paper tag system. Just because we "can" doesn't mean we should. Too many ways for this to have issues. Look around, we have enough issues as it is.

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Neither agree nor disagree

Do you have any comments about the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Do you have any comments about Respectfully, to Whom It May Concern,

I am writing on behalf of Utah Outfitter and Guide Association. This Rule (R657-73) "Tagging" may present an opportunity to iron out and clarify an issue that relates to Rule (R657-72) "Outfitter, Guide and Spotter Rule".

After the Wildlife Board Meeting in June, there was a Sportsman's Caucus that met with Representative Shipp and Others. One concern was what would be the "delineation point" or "point/event in time/place" that would define when an Outfitter could insert more than the (2) Operators for L.E. and (3) Operators for O.I.L. Guided Hunts. The discussion determined that a good delineation point would be when the "tag" was placed upon the target animal. Further, it was discussed that the appropriate time for "tagging" the animal would be immediately upon arrival at the "kill site" upon determination that the game animal had expired. If language would be approprate to enter under this rule "Tagging" to help define the place/time which would define when an Outfitter could insert additional personel for the purpose of field processing and extraction of the game animal then it would be good to enter this language so that this one particular issue could be resolved and defined before this year's hunting season begins.

This is just a thought. I don't know if this is where the language would be appropriate to enter or not, but it sounded logical if the delineation point is going to be when the game animal is "tagged".

Thank you,

July/August 2025 RAC Proposals Feedback July 23, 2025 3:32 pm

Form Name: Submission Time:

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Strongly disagree

the recommended tagging (and e-tagging) requirements?

Do you have any comments about I understand the electronic fishing license. We need to keep the tagging process the same as it has been, it forces people to stick with one animal once their tag is punched, if there is only an electronic form how are you going to verify the animal they harvested is the only animal. I understand a paper tag can be removed and reapplied but it is less likely. stop trying to cut corners and making things easier for the lazy Californian transplant hunters.