

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 8, 2024 1:47 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the cougar harvest and management information in Darren's presentation? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes? Great program

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan? Strongly agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 8, 2024 5:51 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these Great program. I support the dwr in their revisions.
recommended changes?

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 8, 2024 6:22 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these Nice to bring my dad on a hunt.
recommended changes?

Which best describes your position regarding the cougar harvest and management information in Darren's presentation? Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the latest cougar harvest and management information? I think legislators should stay out of hunting, I think there needs to be tags for lions. I would much rather chase lions than hunt deer

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Somewhat disagree

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes? Needs to be a higher amount of buck bull tags to public. 90/10 split is not much for the public.
Most CWMUs only allow you to bring 1 guest, I think that needs to change. CWMUs hunting dates need to line up with the general season dates to help disperse hunting pressure.
CWMUs should not be hunting bulls with rifles the entire rut

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position Somewhat agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these
recommended changes?

I don't have strong feelings about CWMU either way, however the fact that you give hunters already there the option of buying another antlerless tag or an antler less tag in addition it a bull tag blows my mind, most people don't ever even have the chance to hunt as often as they would like and we are going to give the rare person who drew a tag more chances to get another elk that they might not even need? Thanks for taking opportunity away. Other than that I feel like the changes are fine, I am not sure if I will ever apply for a CWMU being an archery hunter first and having very limited time to hunt with no scouting on the majority of the properties, if we got more opportunities for archery hunters with longer season dates I would be all for it

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 8, 2024 10:10 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these Sounds like a fair program.
recommended changes?

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 12:30 pm

Which best describes your position Somewhat agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these
recommended changes?

I represent nonprofit Chairbound Sportsman and have a general comment in support of the CWMU offerings for nonprofit hunts of big game species. Over the years many of our members with disabilities have had successful hunts and this has been a great benefit for their self confidence and rewarding outdoor experiences. Please keep these ongoing and consider adding cow elk hunts as well. Regards Kenneth Vaughn Board member 801-499-9770

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 2:55 pm

Which best describes your position Somewhat disagree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes?

I think there were some great things that came out of the CWMU rule committee but because the committee was stacked with individuals who were a voice in favor of the CWMU association instead of a balanced group, the committee recommendations fell short in a few areas. Here are my thoughts.

1. While I do like the majority of the plan to help CWMU's reach their cow harvest. I don't believe in any circumstance that the CWMU's should be given private cow permits even if they are just giving them away. The majority benefit to the public of the CWMU program is that they get 100% of the antlerless tags if a CWMU chooses the 90/10 bucks/bulls split. If a CWMU needs to be given cow permits because they aren't meeting their quota then I suggest they be moved down to a different tag split which will then give the private land owners more control over the cow tags without sacrificing the benefit to the public (the 50% partner in the CWMU program). Whenever tag splits are brought up the CWMU's tout that the public get all the antlerless tags and therefore there is no reason to change the splits. I would hate to see the public's benefit be given away without splits being adjusted accordingly.

2. Along those same lines, I'm saddened to not see anything addressing CWMU's that don't have any antlerless permits to give to the public. There are 56 CWMU's that are receiving buck/bull permits in 2024 that offer no antlerless tags to the public. Only 2 of the 56 have elk permits. The rest are deer only or deer/pronghorn units. These CWMU's don't offer antlerless tags for good reason because they are not elk CWMU's, and our deer management plan tries to steer away from hunting doe deer too much. Nevertheless, these CWMU's are taking advantage of a 90/10 split which maximizes their benefit and minimizes the public benefit simply because of where their units are located. I would recommend that all of the CWMU's that don't have antlerless permits to offer are required to either do an 80/20 split or give 1 additional antlered tag to the public each year, whichever is greater.

3. I would like to see something recommended to the wildlife board to require the DWR to keep the hunt planner updated. I attempted to contact all 125 registered CWMU's this spring in preparation for the application period. There were several instances where the contact information provided on the hunt planner was incorrect (both emails and phone numbers). Also the information about the CWMU's (rules, number of guests, etc) was incorrect in almost every instance. The general public has a lot of issues with the CWMU program, but I truly believe that at least half of that is because of misinformation or a lack of information, and having the hunt planner incorrect or providing bad contact info doesn't help. I would like to see the DWR directed to review/update the hunt planner every time a COR is renewed. If this is supposed to be happening already I can

promise you its not taking place.

I do have some things I'm very much in support of in the new rule changes and wanted to put a few of them below.

1. Super happy to see the guest policy being addressed. I think that has been a long time coming and is a reasonable compromise. Public hunters can either be guided and bring one guest, or they can have up to a car full of people that has to stay with them. Makes much more sense than what has been done in the past and brings a family hunting element to CWMU's that wasn't always there previously.

2. I support the changes with trade lands and contiguous acres and think they will be good things for both the public and private.

3. As mentioned a bit above, while I don't agree with everything being proposed for the antlerless elk harvests I am happy to see some of the other tools being proposed to help with antlerless harvest to help get some of these elk herds under control.

4. I think its great that they're giving the CWMU advisory committee some help by offering better guidance and direction. That committee does great work.

Please take a real look at each of these issues and don't just pass everything through like is usually done with CWMU proposals. These are important issues to everyone. If you don't feel like you understand the program, please educate yourself on both sides so you can make informed decisions.

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41?

Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan?

Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the cougar harvest and management information in Darren's presentation? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes?

I love the CWMU program. I have hunted two different CWMU's in the past. Both of them have been an amazing experience. The first one I was able to harvest a moose on. The second I was able to harvest a buck mule deer on. Before my hunts I contacted lots of CWMU's working with these operators to learn there rules, what dates I would have, past harvest pictures and what to expect if did draw. CWMU operators were so great to answer all of my questions that I had. I just wanted to say thank you to the state for providing us the opportunities to be able to hunt these private lands that we would not be able to hunt without this program.

I agree with the GUEST rule but I would like to see it standard to allow at least TWO guests along with the hunter (BOTH OF MY HUNTS I WAS ALLOWED THIS).

All other proposed changes I agree with as presented.

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan? Strongly agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 5:41 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these
recommended changes?

I've been involved in the CW program for over 25 years. It is the best program in the western US in my opinion for both the private property owner and the general public to have the opportunity to access property the otherwise they would have to pay to get on I fully support the program.
Thank you

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 5:47 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these I've been a public hunter on a cwmu unit and killed the biggest deer of my
recommended changes? life and had the best hunt to date.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 5:53 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these I support the CWMU program. I appreciate the opportunity that it gives to
recommended changes? hunt private property that is managed so well. In full support of cwmu thank
you

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 6:02 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?

Do you have any comments about these I'm a public hunter and haven't drawn. I have been an observer with
recommended changes? someone who has drawn though and had an amazing time.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 6:15 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the cougar harvest and management information in Darren's presentation? Strongly agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan? Somewhat agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 6:16 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes?

I look forward to hopefully drawing one of the CWMU units that border the town I live in. I normally hunt general season property and these private units have a higher quality of deer. I very much support the program. Thank you

Which best describes your position regarding the cougar harvest and management information in Darren's presentation? Somewhat agree

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to Administrative Rule R657-37? Somewhat agree

Do you have any comments about these recommended changes? Our family has been hunting CWMU's for the past six years. We made the decision to start hunting these CMU's because of the quality and quantity of the animals available. We love the peaceful and quietness of the mountain. The landowners we have had the opportunity to work with have been so accommodating and helpful. It has definitely enhanced our hunting experience. Please do not disrupt something we feel doesn't need to be changed.

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed changes to Administrative Rule R657-41? Neither agree nor disagree

Which best describes your position regarding the proposed Juab Valley WMA Habitat Management Plan? Somewhat agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2024 RAC Proposals Feedback
May 9, 2024 9:39 pm

Which best describes your position Strongly agree
regarding the recommended changes to
Administrative Rule R657-37?
