Form Name:November 2020 RAC FeeSubmission Time:November 3, 2020 3:28 p	
After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Please do not issue unlimited any bull elk permits it would be devastating to the elk
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Need to do more for the Manti San rafael unit.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	I am in support of the plans but more is needed to be done for the Manti San rafael unit bucks was scarce I walked and walked barely seen anything
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I support the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	You guys need to actually spend time in the mountains what a joke you are for wanting more elk tags on open bull units the elk herds are already hurting enough but wait a second all you care about is money ! This is a joke we are by far the worst state to hunt in and you guys make it worse by the year but you sure always have new trucks and whatever else you need why don't you try helping the wildlife and give more chances to the youth instead of trying to kill off the elk right now on any giving day go drive/hike a otc unit for elk and see how many elk you see instead of your counts that include all the private land that you cater to so well public land is hurting but I guess that don't matter as long as the fish and game is making money right?
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

Do not go to Unlimited tags for Elk on the Open Bull Units. You say there are enough elk to support this but it was evident these past few years that you are mistaken. I have never seen as many people and as few elk I as I saw this year. We backpacked into the remotest areas of this unit and spent several days and never cut an elk track or saw an elk. This is country that we've hunted in the past and had success finding and harvesting elk. I was hoping you'd actually cut back on the tags or even go to a draw. You mention more opportunity but opportunity for what? If there are no elk there is no opportunity. If there is no opportunity then there is certainly higher disappointment there than not just drawing a tag.

I've spent well over 40 days in the field this year and never did I see one single officer. We encountered people dropping trash out on the trails, taking atv's into closed areas and long range shooting with no follow-up to verify a hit. All very unethical acts but no one out there enforcing the laws. I'm assuming the same is true about herd counting and no one actually goes out and does this anymore.

From the comments I've seen on social media I know I'm not alone and I'm hoping this proposal is overturned.

This all applies to the deer hunts and limited entry elk hunts as well. Too many people, too few animals. Over crowding, overhunting and overgrazing.

I know that you have a difficult task in managing these herds as well as hunter expectations but you need solid data and credible resources to make the best decisions.

A few proposals to consider:

- Split the month long archery hunts into 2 hunts but do not increase tags. This would reduce the number of people in the field at one time but still provide the same opportunity. Heck, split it into 4 week long hunts but again, do not increase tag numbers.

- Add a waiting period to the Open Bull units. If you're lucky and purchase a tag then you wait 2 years before you can try again. I'd be fine with hunting the open bull every 3rd year...especially if I can go out and at least see an elk.

- If you need more money then double the cost of resident tags and triple the cost of non-resident tags.

I am neutral on the plans.

After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Do not increase buck tags no matter what! How about split the month long archery hunt into 2 hunts to remove some overcrowding. Reducing the number of people in the field at one time would be great but still provide opportunity. Heck, split it into 4 week long hunts, but again, do not increase tag numbers.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	First off why in green earth would the DWR think about put unlimited any-bull elk tags? Why not Brake up the Elk units in to Small units. Do different dates to each units. Why not but the Muzzloader hunts if the first part of august and move the Archery hunt to November. Having the hunts back to back is what is causing the animals to change there patterns. I have been hunting with my family all my life . By doing these changes the hunting tradition is going to die off.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Change all units to 3 points or better. and stop the anlterless tags. Why not move deer around? take deer from the city's and put them in units that are hurting .
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Make it a 3 point or better unit. Put more Bear tags for this unit. I have been hunting this unit for 35 years . The past 6 years I have seen more bear on this unit than I have In yellowstoneNP. This summer alone I have seen 18 different Bears. with in 20 mile of I70 and 15 miles from SR-10 . that is a pretty small area to have that many bears .
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Make it a 3 point or better unit. Put more Bear tags for this unit. I have been hunting this unit for 35 years . The past 6 years I have seen more bear on this unit than I have In yellowstoneNP. This summer alone I have seen 18 different Bears. with in 20 mile of I70 and 15 miles from SR-10. that is a pretty small area to have that many bears .	
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I oppose the recommendations.	
Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?	Stop giving the CWMU Permit and LOA Permit. I got 3 LO doe Deer tags from a guy in Fountain Green and was not able to Harvest A does do to the House all around the 30 acres of land. So how are you to shot when house/ Barns and other buildings are with in 100 yards. So for me this was just a why for some LO to make a buck.	

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Permits should remain limited. You maybe ought to rotationally (every two years) allow any bull hunting on spike units. Definitely you should force cwmu s to hunt the same general hunt season dates. Banning game cameras is also a great idea. If you don't start doing what the vast majority of hunters want, we'll soon ban together and force some of the needed changes. Plans are being formed now to make some of the changes you don't seem willing to make.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Your proposal to decrease objective on the San Juan Elk Ridge is ridiculous. Are you just trying to give up on that area? It has way more carrying capacity. I wished you had the integrity to come here and hold a public meeting in Monticello or Blanding. Game cameras should be banned too.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Do more habitat improvement work.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Permits need to be drastically reduced. The ratio is all ready really skewed because there are not enough does on this unit. The herd needs some serious help, water and habitat improvements. If you're ethical you'll also change the cwmu hunting rules and permit numbers as well. Remember you've now said it's not about the money and the cwmu lobby needs to acknowledge the plan and participate in the changes. Private land hunting is fine, but cwmus should be limited to the same seasons just like the rest of us - no matter what they are willing to pay. Please conduct a fair and equitable public survey on the CWMU operations here in southeastern Utah too. Game cameras should be banned. Thanks
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I oppose the recommendations.
Do you have any additional comments about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations?	No to non-contiguous parcels.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	The unlimited any weapon elk tag is a terrible management plan. This is counterintuitive to the last management plan. And counterintuitive to the ideas of having wilderness areas for the elk to hide. Keep the numbers of tags caped to reduce the amount of people in the wilderness areas. Please consider limiting the amount of any weapon bull elk tags. There needs to be a cap on out of state sales on any weapon bull elk tags also. Provide the opportunity to in state local residents only.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Change Dedicated Hunter bag limit on every unit to 1 Buck deer harvest in the 3 year program. Raise the Dedicated Hunter program fee to \$ 300. The Sportsman that have been a part of the Dedicated program for 15 plus years, will most likely agree with these 2 ideas. I Guarantee it. Being a Dedicated Hunter means to me, that I will be selective in my harvest, I will do extra work to help Mule Deer, I will pay extra to hunt Mule Deer. That is what a Dedicated Hunter should be !!!!!!! Please, Please, make these changes.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	The deer numbers are lower than ever and now you want to decrease them even more? The unlimited any bull tags are a complete joke as well the herd is in the dumps and typical DNR lets kill them all! I cant believe either of these are even proposed. Try working to get the herds back to what they were in the 60's then we will have something!
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	I do not understand the purpose for cutting the deer herd population? We need more deer not less. (again)
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	The deer herd on the book cliffs is as about as poor as it can be. People are putting in for a long time to hunt such a poorly managed deer herd! The book cliffs could be the biggest deer herd in the state (might still be) but its on the downhill slide.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Try to help the deer, not kill them off. I don't know who comes up with the projected deer population numbers but they should really re-count! Take it from the sportsmen that are actually in the field and find out just how poor the deer herd is.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?	we need more deer not less!
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Again we need more deer not less! I cant believe the numbers proposed, the San Juan is an amazing place with some great deerso lets go kill them all! typical DNR.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	You have ruined the mule deer heards and now your doing the same to elk. Covy Jones should be fired on the spot. CUT TAGS across the state. Quit managing for the income and start managing for the QUALITY of our heards.
	Absolutely NO unlimited tags for any species of big game. Shorten archery hunts by 2 weeks. NO Late season hunts for any spicies.
	CUT TAGS CUT TAGS CUT TAGS
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	CUT TAGS CUT TAGS CUT TAGS you have successfully destroyed the mule deer population.
	CUT TAGS
	CUT TAGS
	3PT OR BETTER
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	CUT TAGS CUT TAGS CUT TAGS 3PT OR BETTER 3PT OR BETTER 3PT OR BETTER
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	CUT TAGS CUT TAGS CUT TAGS
	SHORTEN ARCHERY HUNT BY 2 WEEKS NO LATE HUNTS
	CUT TAGS 3PT OR BETTER
	3PT OR BETTER
	3PT OR BETTER
	YOU HAVE DESTROYED OUR MULE DEER HEARDS OVER HUNTED
	CUT TAGS
	CUT TAGS
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	I do not oppose any date or region boundary changes stated above. But creating an unlimited number of tags for any bull is a horrible idea. Not only is general season competitive enough, but where are these numbers of elk you are stating? It would be a free-for-all and quite frankly dangerous for hunters. It has been years since I have harvested an animal, not for lack of opportunity, but for lack of animals. I get the appeal to some hunters getting more opportunity, but I do not see the numbers to support this and believe the number of hunters would be too high for each area and cause chaos. Strongly opposed.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Unlimited anybull tags is already in use with a bow. We don't need it with rifles or muzzleloader. The bow hunters are already killing enough cows that we can't draw anterless elk tag anymore. The plateau boundaries should stay the same. If the Antelope are in the mountains aren't hunters going to go there anyway. Please don't transplant them from here anymore. Boulder boundary is a SFW favor. Don't base management on money or favors if you include the Barney top in the boundary change. Your doing this for SFW
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Where has all the money for habitat gone to, Mule deer foundation and SFW said with habitat projects deer would rebound. Too 450,000. So what has happened and where did the money go.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	I support the plan and hope we can increase deer on the Manti, I would like to see deer that live in towns like spring city trapped and move them to the other side of the mtn. I remember when you never saw deer in the valleys during the summer. I know they don't survive well when transplanted but I think it is worth a shot.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Unlimited elk permits is the worst idea this is a huge money grab. these areas already have shrinking herds and finding an elk is extremely difficult. I hunted all three seasons for elk this year from Hannah all the way to the edge of the Dimond mountain area and I only saw 6 cows. if you dump more people in this already over hunted area it will be a joke. please change your mind. in fact I recommend getting rid of the three season tag so the elk have less pressure during archery and the muzzleloader.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	it makes no sense to lower the population of deer in some of these areas like the book cliffs cutting the population almost in half is a bad idea. why are we trying to decrease populations? I understand that some areas have a smaller caring capacity but that's only because ranchers have over grazed there cattle on habitat that should be used for deer and elk. I say cut the cattle back and save the deer and elk.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?	There needs to be more public land or more private land owners willing to let hunters on to control the population of deer in the Morgan south rich area
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and	I support the recommendations.

LOA recommendations:

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	DO NOT Offer unlimited OTC bull tags for elk. You will ruin the hunting. Believe me you don't want to be Colorado. As per usual my best guess as to why you are wanting to offer otc tags is that the department needs more money. If that's the case then you all need an attitude adjustment because now your just looking at the cash instead of what's best for hunters and the herd. Offering otc licenses to make it more of a challenge? Are you kidding me? That's no biological sound management decision. Overcrowding will make this a negative experience for many hunters leading ultimately to reduced hunter recruitment in the long run.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	I would suggest using better relationships with agriculture producers to offer more hunter access on those lands rather than trying to reduce the herd below carrying capacity just to satisfy ranchers and farmers.

Form Name:November 2020 RAC FeSubmission Time:November 8, 2020 2:38	
After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I support the proposals and amendments.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	I think the overall recommendations are good and I appreciate all of the work that you have put into the recommendations. I have a hard time with the unlimited permits for any bull units. I have hunted one of these units before and it was a joke as 5 groups of people went after the same bull. The numbers should be limited. Might I also recommend increased drawing odds for the elderly over 65. It is sad to see some of the elderly people that I know not be able to hunt in some of the limited units before they are unable to. It would also be nice to also eliminate the 5 year waiting period between being able to put in for a limited entry hunt. If it comes down to giving permits to non-residents and residents I would be in favor of decreasing non-resident permits. It is sad that I can only hunt elk twice in my life in limited units because it takes 15-25 years to draw each time and an additional 5 years between being able to put in.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.
Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments?	Don't privatize public property "permanently" fights will ensue
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	The nebo elk unit, as admitted, is still suffering. Increase the Manti age objective to match nebo not the other way around. Unlimited all tags is not good for the "habitat" as stated and will affect herd numbers. Time to replace Covy Jones.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Almost statewide the division is failing to manage to objective. Changing the goal is poor management. Let's visit better management, 3 point or better programs, actual management of overgrazing issues all over public ground, etc. First horrible mismanagement of the Manti lion hunts and now reduced "objectives" so the division and meet its goals and look better.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Reducing the objective is not an improved management plan. Covy claims to want more deer but his plan is contrary, too "look good". Manage, reduce grazing, lower tags as needed, implement 3 point and better for those hunters over 18.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Reducing the objective is not an improved management plan. Covy claims to want more deer but his plan is contrary, too "look good". Manage, reduce grazing, lower tags as needed, implement 3 point and better for those hunters over 18. South Manti cougar slaughter is a horrible example of the current management of wildlife.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	Good morning Rac I watched the central rac I'm opposed to unlimted elk tags I Support the recomendations for 20,000 cap on the anybull unit I support unlimited youth any bull elk tags I support archery going threw the youth any bull elk hunt I would like to see if 3 corners could be discontiuned and make it into a anybull unit I support the rest that has been proposed. thank you for all that you do
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Central Region mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

Region mule deer unit plans:

Do you have any additional comments about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans?	Good morning Rac board I sit here as a concerned sportsman i really feel like we are going in a downward tail spin! There has to be something to bring our deer back and i really feel like on of the solutions would be getting rid of scopes off of Muzzleloaders These muzzy are capable of shooting out there at 400 yards no problem i have a walmart gun that can achieve that, I really feel this will lower the success rate on harvest. During the archery hunt i watched 9 bucks they where just 2 year old deer 3 got shot during the archery and the rest got shot during the muzzleloader hunt and 4 where shot at 350 plus i know if it was just open sights there is no way that could have been done. A guy named levi reed over here in lapoint is building muzzy to shoot out to 500 yards no problem i really think this will help our deer herd thats a rifle in my book just think we need to strongly look at this Thanks for all that you do
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and	I am neutral on the recommendations.

LOA recommendations:

Form Name:November 2020 RAC FeSubmission Time:November 11, 2020 2:26	
After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I support the proposals and amendments.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	unlimited any bull tags.
	I would like to see the any bull units kept as limited to 15k tag. Public land is already over crowded in many of the areas that hold elk in southern Utah on any bull units (I hunted Colorado OTC last season and the pressure I saw compared to public land on the Zion and pine valley Unit, Utah was much more crowded and has less elk on public land.
	I also would like to see the multiseason tag for any bull discontinued. Discontinuing the multiseason provides better hunting for each individual season. I believe Utah is trying to create too much opportunity with people hunting 3 seasons when we should be focusing on opportunity and success together. Hunters, especially youth, are more likely to participate in hunting if they actually get the opportunity to see elk and have a better hunt possibly take an elk than just having more days in the field that are overcrowded.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.

I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:

I oppose the recommendations, the amendments or both.

Do you have any additional comments about these recommendations or amendments? November 12, 2020 To: Utah Wildlife Board, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR), and Members of the Regional **Advisory Councils** Re: Opposition to Proposed Rule Change to Allow New Permanent Blinds in Willard Spur Waterfowl Management Area National Audubon Society's Saline Lakes Program (Audubon) would like to express our concern for the recent proposed additions to R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a), which would permit the construction of new permanent blinds on Willard Spur Waterfowl Management Area. For the reasons explained below Audubon respectfully requests that the proposed rule change not be adopted, but instead withdrawn or rejected. Permanent Blinds Would Detract From the Unique Natural Characteristics of Willard Spur In 2019, Audubon supported H.B. 265 (UT Code §23-21-5(2)(a)) designating Willard Spur as a Waterfowl Management Area (WMA), particularly for the designation's role in long-term protection of this irreplaceable ecosystem of Willard Spur, and its importance to Great Salt Lake's Bear River Bay. Willard Spur's unique hydrology and ecology promote an exceptional diversity of habitats that make it one of the most productive areas of Great Salt Lake, supporting hundreds of thousands of shorebirds, waterfowl and other waterbirds each year. As highlighted by the important language in the bill prohibiting the "construction or use of an impounding dike, impounding levee, or other impounding structure," maintaining these natural characteristics is key to the ongoing importance of Willard Spur to all bird species. These natural, wild characteristics also draw waterfowlers, fisherman, boaters, photographers, birders and other recreationalists to Willard Spur WMA. The construction of new, permanent blinds would detract greatly from these natural aspects, and would not be aligned with the reasons for Willard Spur WMA's designation. "No New Blinds" Was Overwhelmingly Agreed Upon by the Vast Majority of

the Willard Spur

Management Planning Committee

Audubon staff participated in the Willard Spur Management Planning Committee, which consisted of a

wide variety of consumptive users, non-consumptive users, Regional Advisory Council members and DWR

Staff. After much deliberation there was general accession (though not

without concern) to allowing preexisting

permanent blinds1 used by members of both Willard Duck Club and the Pintail Club to remain.

However, the majority of the planning committee agreed that no new blinds should be permitted for the

following reasons:

? "No new blinds" was consistent with existing regulations of all Utah WMA's.

? Safety and liability issues associated with other recreational users and blinds, which are

inherently difficult to see and could be a hazard to boaters.

1 According to the Willard Spur Final DRAFT Habitat Management Plan, January 2020, there are

already 17 duck blinds in the Willard Spur Area that will remain open for public use.

National Audubon Society - Saline Lakes Program

Opposition to Proposal to Allow New Permanent Blinds in Willard Spur WMA, November 12, 2020

Page 2 of 2

? Concerns over privately built blinds on public land, which could lead to user conflicts and unknown responsibilities for upkeep and monitoring blind locations.

It was our understanding that there was overwhelming opposition to new blinds by the majority of stakeholders, who have not changed since that time.

The Proposed Rule Change to R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a) is Inconsistent with the Management Goals and Legislative Designation of Willard Spur WMA; Do Not Address Significant Issues Associated With the Management and Permitting the Construction of Blinds on a Popular Public WMA

The proposed change to R657-9-34(3) would create an exception for the Willard Spur WMA from the restrictions on waterfowl blinds provided in subsection (1)(a) - 1(c). Unlike, other exceptions to these restrictions in the rule for specific locations at other WMAs (e.g., "West and North of Unit 1, Turpin Unit of Farmington Bay WMA), the proposed rule creates an exception for the entire Willard Spur WMA.

Furthermore, proposed rule R657-9-34(3)(a) states that "the placement of any new permanent blind will require written permission from DWR and FFSL." Other than requiring written permission, there is no mention of the process by which applicants will receive permission, or how many new blinds potentially could be approved. Additionally, it is unclear whether any proposal for a new permanent blind would be subject to DWR land use rule 657-28 or FFSL rules R652-30, 40, 41 or 70 or subject to public notice and comment. The proposed rule also raises a number of concerns, such as: safety and liability, including whether safety and visibility specifications will need to be met; and importantly - how this won't lead to a proliferation of artificial structures throughout the Willard Spur WMA. Any additional blinds or infrastructure will detract from Willard Spur's wild and natural characteristics. Moreover, the proposed rule places additional management responsibility on DWR and FFSL.

If a clarification to R657-9-34 is necessary to address the pre-existing permanent blinds at Willard Spur WMA, the proposed rule goes well beyond that purpose. If that is the intent, we recommend that DWR withdraw the proposed rule. DWR staff could then work with stakeholders to develop language to address the specific issue concerning pre-existing blinds.

Audubon appreciates the opportunity to share its perspectives on the proposed "waterfowl blind" rule amendments. For the reasons explained above, Audubon respectfully requests that the Utah Wildlife Board, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, and the Regional Advisory Councils not adopt the proposed rule, but instead withdraw and/or reject the proposed rule change to add R657-9-34(3) and R657-9-34(3)(a).

Sincerely,

National Audubon Society,

Saline Lakes Program

Brian Tavernia, Ecologist (Willard Spur WMA Management Planning Committee Member)

Max Malmquist, Outreach Associate

After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I am neutral on the proposals and amendments.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

Form Name: November 2020 RAC Feedback Submission Time: November 13, 2020 1:32 pm	
After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I support the proposals and amendments.
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	As a elk bowhunter of 35 years I am jumping up and down in support of adding 9 more days to the General Season archery elk hunt. I don't care if I have to wear orange because I m fine with sharing the woods with the youth hunters.
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)

## Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, " with it." They start hunting other states (loosing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's distain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fail swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours.

knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and loosing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely, Concerned hunters.

## Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?

To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, " with it." They start hunting other states (loosing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's distain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fail swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue? Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR"

not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours.

knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and loosing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely, Concerned hunters.

After watching Dax Mangus'I oppose the plans.presentation about the NortheasternI oppose the plans.Region mule deer unit plans:I oppose the plans.

Do you have any additional comments about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans? To those that may actually care, you seem to always be worrying about hunter crowding and yet offer multi-season bull elk permits forcing many die-hard Muzzleloader and archery hunters to say, " with it." They start hunting other states (loosing Utah revenue) because there are too many hunters on the mountain, now the state is proposing to offer unlimited bull elk tags. Do you even listen to the opinion of the hunters/public you have been chosen to represent?

The Nine Mile/Anthro unit

Twenty years ago, it had the best genetic and herd population in the state. That was the tag to get, despite the poor management, It still has some of the best genetics in the state. It now has low herd numbers thanks in part to the rancher's distain for the elk on their range ground. Therefore, selling more tags for even more money was the solution of the DNR to keep the ranchers happy and the lease money coming in. The only difference between the Range Creek South unit and the Range Creek North units are the number of ranchers. The Nine Mile/Anthro unit has become more and more of an undesired hunt. In one fail swoop moving it to an any bull unit and offering unlimited permits effectively finishing off one of the once highly sought-after units in the state. This proposed change makes us question even more now than before if it is all just about revenue?

Speaking of the subject of revenue it seems to many that the now "DNR" not "DWR" has realized in recent years sense expanding the employees use of dedicated hunters. A part of the approved use of dedicated hunters the employees gained was fought for by the employees and dedicated hunters themselves during public RAC meetings. They can now use dedicated hunters for some of the work that needs done none of the employees want or have time to do. This saves the DNR a decent amount of money. (Free labor, materials, and the willingness to do the scut work) The dedicated hunters are paying the monetary equivalent of \$835 to the DNR for the privilege of taking two deer. Since the dedicated hunter program is saving the DNR money in part (possibly) reducing state and federal funding to the DNR because they are voluntary and non-paid hours.

knows the DNR cannot stand losing one penny. In response to that they want to all but abolish the program. Stating the cause being the "statewide deer population objective". When the deer proposal was passed The concern of tag increase or decrease was brought up and the people were reassured that nothing would happen as the population was holding steady for many years.

The statewide deer population is nowhere near the current population objective. Instead of cutting tags and loosing precious revenue lower the objective by 30% to 40% in most units. This seems to the public this is only to help the DNR not look like they are doing so poorly in the management of our wildlife on paper.

Hunters are not as actively pursuing the Bookcliff limited entry deer unit as they were in the past. It is not hard to see or comprehend by the public at least the once near record breaking genetics that were seen ten-fifteen years ago have disappeared. Many of the breeding age bucks that are being harvested are showing severely weaker genetics than would typically be expected on that type of limited entry unit. Thin antlers and weak forks are not something any hunter waiting ten years or more to get a permit wants to take home.

An idea for in the book cliffs would be to cut regular Buck tags and implement management hunts. That would be a fix to the revenue problem aswell as the bad genetics problem that the book cliffs and monroe units have had since the 3-point or bigger hunts were on both those units. I assume it is easier to continue lowering the objective then properly manage.

Around 2012 the deer the DNR decided to implement units instead of regions. This limited the number of tags in smaller areas Around 2014 almost every unit was over objective desired by the DNR. What was the solution to that? A permit increase, since then the decrease in population it has been blamed on overextending the capabilities of the habitat and low body fat. Not on the increase in tags resulting in more hunting pressure before winter. Some wonder if the over grazing by the lease holders has anything to do with that? The cattle in many units are supposed to be off the range by the 1st of October, some permit holders do not even start removal operations until the 15th if not later in the month stated by the DNR.

Thank you for your time sincerely, Concerned hunters.

After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I oppose the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I oppose the proposals, the amendments or both. (Please specify in the comments.)
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	If we are going to go unlimited permits for bull elk units, please place an antler restriction of 4 point or better on the units. I feel this will keep the harvest in check. Remember that every rifle hunter in Utah is capable of making accurate 1500yard shots on elk with a 6.5 creedmoor (sarcasm).
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I am neutral on the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.

After viewing the waterfowl recommendations and R657-9 amendments:	I am neutral on the recommendations and amendments.
After viewing the 2021 big game proposals and amendments:	I support the proposals and amendments.
Do you have any additional comments about these proposals or amendments?	I would like to see crossbows added to the multi-weapon hunts (HAMS to CHAMS?). Crossbows, of course, are short range weapons and are without noise and would be ideal for those HAMS hunts and many antlerless hunts as well. I'm not suggesting we add them to the archery only hunts, but I see no reasons not to add them to the multi-weapon hunts. They are already included on the any weapon hunts. Thanks for your consideration, (And for doing a tough job sorting out all the hunts to provide opportunity AND quality hunts)!
After watching Covy Jones' presentation about the mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
Do you have any additional comments about the mule deer unit plans?	I appreciate the updated unit population objectives. The Division has done a great job using the latest technics to arrive at those numbers. Thanks!
After watching James Christensen's presentation about the Northern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Dax Mangus' presentation about the Northeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Riley Peck's presentation about the Central Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Teresa Griffin's presentation about the Southern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Guy Wallace's presentation about the Southeastern Region mule deer unit plans:	I support the plans.
After watching Chad Wilson's presentation about the 2021 CWMU and LOA recommendations:	I am neutral on the recommendations.