

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
April 30, 2026 10:11 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

I like the fact that there is many people looking at each one for approval. Seems pretty fair.

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to R657-24: Compensation for mountain lion, bear, wolf or eagle damage?

Somewhat agree

Do you have any comments about the recommended changes to R657-24: Compensation for mountain lion, bear, wolf or eagle damage?

I'm very glad that lethal trapping won't be used by hunters. Our wildlands are such a unique and defining aspect of Utah, and it concerns me to know that mountain lions are able to be harvested year-round. It also concerns me that mountain lions are killed rather than relocated when found in our cities. I know that the committees overseeing these decisions are skilled and experienced, but fear for our wildlands with the avid harvesting of a predator in our home ecosystems. I know that this argument is not data-driven, but as a lifetime and full-time Utah resident, I have never seen a cougar here. This saddens me. Utah's wildlife is one of my favorite things about our great state, and I'd truly like to not see that privilege infringed on by sportsman organizations. They may offer monetary incentive, but I would argue that the tradeoff is that full-time residents like myself are robbed of something truly special. I would truly like for Utah wildlands to be primarily for Utah residents, not for sportsman organizations. Thank you.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 3, 2026 12:56 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to R657-11: Taking Furbearers and Trapping?

Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the recommended changes to R657-11: Taking furbearers and trapping?

Think its bad idea what happens if someone's pets get into this traps while camping or recreating we should be allowed to know where these trap sights are so we can keep pets away while enjoying the same mountains and ranges

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 7:43 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

great program

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 9:05 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 9:07 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 9:13 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

CWMU's are the best program out in th western United States. They are a positive for the wildlife, public and private hunters. My time spent on CWMU's have been nothing short of spectacular.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 11:35 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

I have been a hunter on a Cwmu and strongly agree with the program. The program is both a win for the wildlife and hunters. This program lets public hunters hunt ground that other wise would be locked. This is a great program.

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

I have been hunting in Utah since I was 14 yrs old! From what I have seen the CWMU program has been positive impact on Utah wildlife. I have put in for CWMU tags every year and drawn 2deer & 1elk tag! If I was only able to put in for limited entry I would have only drawn 1 tag tops! The CWMU coordinator on every tag I've drawn was AMAZING! The hunts also amazing! I was able to harvest 2 deer but didn't get my bull! Even though I wasn't success, the hunt was great! I feel that the units with public land, land locked etc. Should be included. This would cut down on possibilities of non CWMU tag hunters shooting animals outside boundaries! I've been on properties that have public landlocked and it gets confusing if I can or cannot shoot there! If it was included it would remove the question of if I can hunt there! Also would stop the public hunter from maybe messing up my hunt by coming through CWMU property! Thank you for all you do and I hope this all makes sense! Bryan Ellison

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 3:21 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

I want to highlight the opportunity for nonresidents to purchase CWMU tags and consistent success I've had on those lands.

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 4, 2026 9:47 pm

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

My family has participated in the CWMU public draw system for over 20 years. Over those 20 years, we have been fortunate enough to draw moose, deer, elk & antelope tags. The CWMU program offers low pressure opportunities for all species that would be more challenging for the "older" or physically challenged hunters in the limited entry units

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 5, 2026 6:33 am

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

I guess I don't mind if it is written in state rules and they are providing me an opportunity as a public Hunter

Form Name:
Submission Time:

May 2026 RAC Feedback
May 5, 2026 9:32 am

Which best describes your position regarding the changes to R657-28: Use of Division Lands?

Neither agree nor disagree

Do you have any comments about the proposed changes to R657-27: Use of Division Lands?

I am a senior citizen and have hunted all my life, I dont hunt now but I still go with my family while they hunt, Why cant I get a life time pass like I have to visit all federal parks and not have to renew each year at no cost, I have paid my dues with all the permits I have bought in my life

Which best describes your position regarding the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments recommendations?

Strongly agree

Do you have any comments about the CWMUs with public land, variance requests and rule amendments?

Private land and public land are vital to each other in many ways and here's why. Private lands play a key role in habitat, health of wildlife, and the love and the pursuit of wild game. Private lands help maintain habitat for wildlife in the way the ground is operated, cared for, and protected against outside harm. Operators who seek out the best intentions for the ground keep in check not only the ground, but the wildlife, livestock, and recreation use of the ground. Many of these operators I know on a personal level and do a fantastic job. Health of the wildlife is key to maintaining a strong herd. Between private and public hunts on either side of the fence is vital to a healthy herd, as well as predator control. I know of multiple private land operators who feed the deer far before the UDWR at their own expense over the last numerous years during drought, hard winters, and just to keep a healthy body fat percentage on the animals. They also contribute to this by managing predators best they can so in these situations the wildlife have the best chance of survival. For the love and pursuit of is, and has to be not a sacrifice but a contribute from the private land operators but also the public. Over 50% of all wildlife live and use private ground, in any season of the year. As operators do their best to help the wildlife, there should also be help contributed from the public as seen fit. Interactions between public and private have been nothing short of great from personal experience and friends who have drawn private land tags. I strongly agree with the number 3 recommendation for the Northern Region, Central Region and for the wildlife board. Thank you

Which best describes your position regarding the upland game recommendations? Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the upland game recommendations? I feel that no hunting should be allowed on upland game given their low natural survival rate. I would like to see their numbers increase before allowing permits for hunting them.

Which best describes your position regarding the wild turkey recommendations? Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the wild turkey recommendations? I would like to see more information on turkey/human conflicts and what damage the turkeys are causing. I don't feel that they should be targeted to reduce their numbers.

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to R657-24: Compensation for mountain lion, bear, wolf or eagle damage? Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the recommended changes to R657-24: Compensation for mountain lion, bear, wolf or eagle damage? Ranchers and livestock owners need to be responsible for their livestock and securing them. They are willingly taking a risk by having livestock and need to understand that they share this land with wildlife. No compensation should be provided for losses.

Which best describes your position regarding the recommended changes to R657-11: Taking Furbearers and Trapping? Strongly disagree

Do you have any comments about the recommended changes to R657-11: Taking furbearers and trapping? Taking the lives of wildlife via a snare or lethal means is absolute wrong! The fact that they can even be hunted is a disgrace. They have just as much right to live on this land as we do. Producers and agents should not be allowed to kill wildlife via any means!!!
