



GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J. COX
Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Wildlife Resources

GREGORY J. SHEEHAN
Division Director

August 18, 2015

Greg Sheehan, Director, Division of Wildlife Resources
John Bair, Chairman, Utah Wildlife Board
Kirk Woodward, Vice Chairman, Utah Wildlife Board
Utah Wildlife Board Members

RE: 2015 Expo Permit Internal Audit – Rule R657-55

Dear Director Sheehan and Wildlife Board Members,

In accordance with Rule R657-55, an audit of the Expo Permit program has been conducted. This audit is attached for your review and the results will be presented at the Utah Wildlife Board Meeting on August 27, 2015.

If you have any questions please contact me at 801-550-8349.

Sincerely,

Kenny Johnson
Administrative Services Chief
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

Internal Audit of the 2015 Expo Permit Program

Dated August 18, 2015

Background

The Mule Deer Foundation (MDF) conducts the Expo in partnership with Sportsmen for Fish & Wildlife (SFW). The contract for the wildlife Expo permits was awarded to the Mule Deer Foundation in 2010. The award was for a five year contract period that runs from 2012 through 2016. This report covers 2015 specifically, and uses historical data from the outset of the Expo in 2007 through 2015 for some comparative items.

The Expo was held in Salt Lake City February 19-22, 2015. In accordance with R657-55, an annual audit of the Expo permit program has been conducted in 2015. This audit was not performed using generally accepted auditing standards, but is an internal audit designed by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources Administrative Services Section to ensure compliance.

Overview

The focus of this audit is to assist the Division and the Wildlife Board to be able to ensure contract compliance. Our report focuses on verifying that data is secure, and that the drawing procedure used is random for the permits being issued. Additionally, we reviewed data regarding the number of applicants, success rates, and other efforts related to drawing procedures and issuance of permits. We also reviewed revenue amounts retained by the contractor for both Expo expenses and use on division approved projects. We look to verify that the funds designated for projects are kept separate from other funds in an insured bank account.

New in 2015, we looked to see if the application fees collected in 2013 were spent or committed to division approved projects within the time allotted by agreement with the Division (September 1, 2015).

Findings and Recommendations

The Division monitored the processes of data collection and input, securing of personal and confidential data received, and performance of the actual draw process. There were no findings with the data handling or program code in 2015.

Additionally, the Division has performed eligibility checks of successful applicants and alternates that may have been assigned a permit. One eligibility issue was identified and handled internally, preventing a permit from being issued to an ineligible applicant.

This audit verified application revenue retained by the contractor, as well as permit revenue payable to the division from each successful applicant prior to issuance of the permit. There were no compliance issues in 2015.

Application revenue from 2013 was reviewed, project invoices paid with Expo dollars were tallied and project coversheets signed by the division director were compiled to obtain the total of application revenue spent on division approved projects and the total dollars committed to division approved projects. Both the MDF and SFW had expended or committed all required 2013 revenue and are in compliance with rule and agreements with the Division.

Review of handling personal and sensitive data

The division considers the handling of personal data and information a top priority. Because the contractor conducting the draw is allowed to access DWR data for populating the hunt applications, we require adherence to protocols that will safeguard this data.

The contractor has two process components regarding sensitive and confidential data from the applicants. For these purposes sensitive and confidential data is defined as social security number, driver's license information, height, weight, gender, hair/eye color.

First is the handling of sensitive information given by applicants at the Expo to apply in the drawing. This is done on a paper form completed by the applicant. Once completed and submitted, these forms are cross-shredded on site. No paper applications are retained by the contractor.

Second is the handling of electronic data that is used in the electronic application process. Sensitive data is used by the application for customer lookups into the Division database. This data transmission is through a secure socket layer using 128 bit encryption. Once the customer information is retrieved no sensitive information is stored in the contractor database.

No compliance issues were identified by the Division in 2015.

Review of the drawing process

Division of Wildlife personnel go through an extensive review of the draw processes used by GraySky Technologies, the draw contractor selected to conduct the Expo permit drawing. The Division is represented by Greg Evans and Kirk Poulsen of the Utah Department of Technology Services, who reviewed the following:

- 1) The process of the draw is reviewed for its soundness.
- 2) The database structure is reviewed to make sure that a customer can't flood a certain hunt by making multiple entries for that hunt.
- 3) A review of the code is conducted to make sure that there is no chance that a seeded record could exist in the database prior to the assignment of random numbers. This is done to ensure that the result table is empty and no records can be inserted independently of the drawing code. This ensures that a record with an abnormally low random number isn't placed in the table thereby guaranteeing a permit to that record.
- 4) The code is reviewed to ensure that all records are treated equally in the process that assigns random numbers to the entries. Care is given to make sure that when the random numbers are being assigned, no records are identified to get a number other than a random number which is generated by the system.
- 5) The code is then reviewed for inserts that may occur after the drawing to make sure that a secured opportunity record is not placed in the result table after the assignment of random numbers takes place.

This was an exhaustive and thorough review; no compliance issues were identified by the Division in 2015.

Conducting the Draw

The actual drawing was conducted at the Division Office in Salt Lake City on February 24, 2015. Attendees included division staff, representatives from the Mule Deer Foundation, Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife, and the general public. The public is welcome to attend the drawing and at least 3 individuals unrelated to the Division or contractors were in attendance. The draw is then conducted by GraySky Technologies whereupon the following occurred:

- 1) An impromptu passphrase “Go Johnny GOOOO!” was given to the GraySky representative and was witnessed written into the code prior to beginning the draw process. Later this same passphrase was verified to display on the result page to ensure the code reviewed by the Division was the actual code used during the draw.
- 2) The draw was then run assigning random numbers to applicants hunt choice entries and then sorted in descending order.
- 3) The results of the draw were printed and immediately given to a Division representative to ensure that there were no edits to the results table.
- 4) This list was then given to the Division Law Enforcement and Licensing sections to validate eligibility before any results were posted.
- 5) Any applicants selected through the draw that receive multiple permits for the same species are contacted by the Division and asked to select their preferred hunt choice. The unclaimed permits are issued to alternates.

The passphrase was witnessed being added to the code, and the same passphrase verified at the conclusion of the draw. Results were instantly printed and the process to validate began immediately.

No compliance issues were identified by the Division.

Note about Random Drawings

In any truly random drawing there always seems to be a few “lucky” individuals. Statistically when randomness is discussed it is always possible to view the final result and pick out certain trends, especially with few historical data sets to observe. The key to these trends is that they cannot be predicted prior to the event or drawing. This is the very essence of randomness. Random is not an assurance that an event will be spread evenly across a population, or distributed equally among participants. There were not any abnormalities observed in the 2015 drawing, random or otherwise.

Draw Related Information

The Division reviewed data from the Expo regarding application numbers and success rates of the Expo. Applicant numbers verified that at least 10,000 individuals attended the Expo again in 2015 as was established as a basis for applying for the permit series. The reported number of attendees at the 2015 Expo was just over 40,000, with more than 10,000 being formally registered for activities.

Applicant data for years 2007-2015 is as follows:

Year	Applicants	Applications	Gross Revenue@ \$5 per app	Avg Applications Per Applicant
2007	10,527	205,462	\$ 1,027,310	19.52
2008	8,745	138,988	\$ 694,940	16.89
2009	9,927	169,988	\$ 845,970	17.04
2010	9,700	165,866	\$ 847,285	17.1
2011	12,154	196,360	\$ 981,800	16.16
2012	13,388	207,870	\$ 1,039,350	15.53
2013	14,043	197,312	\$ 986,560	14.05
2014	14,148	206,506	\$ 1,032,530	14.59
2015	14,910	228,530	\$ 1,142,650	15.33

No compliance issues were identified by the Division.

Resident versus Nonresident Success

Data was reviewed comparing the number of resident applicants versus the nonresident applicants. In the 2015 application period, 84% of the applications for the 200 permit series were residents with 16% nonresidents. 88% of the permits drawn were awarded to residents, and 12% to nonresidents. These numbers are consistent with the historical averages.

These findings are consistent and inline with previous comparisons.

Historical Comparison of Expo Permit Applications and Success Rates

	Average 2007-2015	2015
Total Resident Applications	178,917	192,420
Total Nonresident Applications	32,401	36,110
	207,244	228,530

Percent of Resident Applications	83.97%	84.19%
Percent of Nonresident Applications	16.03%	15.81%
	100%	100%

Permits Issued to Residents	173	176
Permits Issued to Nonresidents	27	24
	200	200

Percent of Permits Resident	86.65%	88.00%
Percent of Permits Nonresident	14.25%	12.00%
	100%	100%

License Sales

The Division requires that anyone applying for a permit at the Hunt Expo have a valid hunting or combination license at the time of application. To ensure this compliance the programming will not allow applicants to apply without a valid license in the system. For the Hunt Expo in 2015 there were 1,101 combination and hunting licenses sold on site. The resulting license revenue generated was \$49,252.00. The entirety of these funds are owed to the division with the same reporting stipulations as other third party license vendors; the invoice was paid in full on time.

There were no compliance issues with license sales, reporting, or payment.

Application Revenue

MDF and SFW agreed to spend a portion of application revenue on division approved projects beginning in 2013. In March of 2015 the division, MDF and SFW agreed to amend the contract allowing the conservation groups to retain application revenue at \$3.30 for administrative costs of the Expo and designating \$1.70 to be spent or committed to division approved projects within 2 years of receipt.

In 2015 the Expo was held February 19–22, the draw processed 228,530 applications, generating \$1,142,650 in gross application revenue. The retained portion allowable for administrative Expo costs was \$754,149.00; the remaining \$1.70 per application dedicated to division approved projects totaled \$388,501.00. This revenue is split 50/50 between The Mule Deer Foundation and Sportsmen for Fish and wildlife, each receiving \$194,250.50. These fund balances were clearly identifiable, verified, and held separate from other funds in federally insured bank accounts. No compliance issues were identified by the Division.

Project Revenue

In 2013 we reported 3 completed and 3 approved projects. Last year we reported 12 projects approved or completed with Expo permit funds. Since 2013, Mule Deer Foundation has spent \$183,910.03 dollars on 9 division approved projects, and has received division approval on commitments to 27 more projects totaling \$345,485.00 in committed revenue. This means they have spent nearly all of their 2013 project revenue of \$185,473.28, and have easily met their obligation to commit or expend this revenue by Sept 1, 2015.

Our internal review of Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife shows that they have spent \$314,466.60 on 6 division approved projects, and have received division approval on commitments to 10 more projects for an additional \$257,916.50 in committed revenue. This means they have exhausted all of their 2013 project revenue of \$185,473.28, and have met their obligation to commit or expend this revenue by Sept 1, 2015.

More detail can be found in attachment 2.

Draw Probability Statistics

The Expo offers a limited number of permits annually and attracts exponentially more applicants who compete for them through the draw process. It should be noted that this dynamic implies a statistically low probability of obtaining a permit. While the draw odds are not a controllable variable or concern of the division, we want to acknowledge the expediency with which this information is made available to the public. The Expo contractor publishes these statistics annually on their website prior to the next year application period.

Conclusions

This internal audit was directed at processes involved in the careful handling of applications and data. We believe that with the procedures set in place by MDF, SFW, and GraySky, that the data was properly secured at the Expo, and the drawing was conducted in a random, transparent, and consistent manner.

Funds for division approved projects were verified and accounted for in the prescribed manner, kept separate from other account funds in federally insured bank accounts.

Revenue collected in 2013 for division approved projects were committed and expended prior to the September 1 deadline.

The Division will perform another audit of the 2016 Expo and will provide a report including any findings to the Utah Wildlife Board.

We would like to thank the Mule Deer Foundation and Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife for their time, prompt response and their willingness to provide the information requested for the preparation of the audit. Their information was clearly presented and very much appreciated. If there are questions regarding this report, please contact me at 801-550-8349.

Kenneth Johnson
Administrative Services Chief
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources

CC: Gregory Sheehan, Director
John Bair, Board Chair
Kirk Woodward, Board Vice Chair
Utah Wildlife Board Members
Miles Moretti, Mule Deer Foundation
Jon Larsen, Sportsmen for Fish and Wildlife

ATCH:

1. Current Expo Rule R647-55
2. Project Expenditures list

Attachment 1

The Utah Administrative Code is the body of all effective administrative rules as compiled and organized by the Division of Administrative Rules (see Subsection 63G-3-102(5); see also Sections 63G-3-701 and 702).

NOTE TO RULEFILING AGENCIES: Use the RTF version for submitting rule changes.

Download the [RTF file](#)

Rule R657-55. Wildlife Expo Permits.

As in effect on July 1, 2015

Authorizing, Implemented, or Interpreted Law

1/9

(2) Wildlife expo permits are authorized by the Wildlife Board and issued by the division to a qualified conservation organization for purposes of generating revenue to fund wildlife conservation activities in Utah and attracting a regional or national wildlife exposition to Utah.

(3) The selected conservation organization will conduct a random drawing at an exposition held in Utah to distribute the opportunity to receive wildlife expo permits.

(4) This rule is intended as authorization to issue one series of wildlife expo permits per year to one qualified conservation organization.

R657-55-2. Definitions.

(1) Terms used in this rule are defined in Section 23-13-2.

(2) In addition:

(a) "Conservation organization" means a nonprofit chartered institution, corporation, foundation, or association founded for the purpose of promoting wildlife conservation.

(b) "Special nonresident expo permit" means one wildlife expo permit for each once-in-a-lifetime species that is only available to a nonresident hunter legally eligible to hunt in Utah.

(c) "Wildlife exposition" means a multi-day event held within the state of Utah that is sponsored by multiple wildlife conservation organizations as their national or regional convention or event that is open to the general public and designed to draw nationwide attendance of more than 10,000 individuals. The wildlife exposition may include wildlife conservation fund raising activities, outdoor exhibits, retail marketing of outdoor products and services, public awareness programs, and other similar activities.

(d) "Wildlife exposition audit" means an annual review by the division of the conservation organization's processes used to handle applications for expo permits and conduct the drawing, and the protocols associated with collecting and using client data.

(e) "Wildlife expo permit" means a permit which:

(i) is authorized by the Wildlife Board to be issued to successful applicants through a drawing or random selection process conducted at a Utah wildlife exposition; and

(ii) allows the permittee to hunt the designated species on the designated unit during the respective season for each species as authorized by the Wildlife Board.

(f) "Wildlife expo permit series" means a single package of permits to be determined by the Wildlife Board for:

(i) deer;

(ii) elk;

(iii) pronghorn;

(iv) moose;

(v) bison;

(vi) rocky mountain goat;

(vii) desert bighorn sheep;

(viii) rocky mountain bighorn sheep;

- (ix) wild turkey;
- (x) cougar; or
- (xi) black bear.

(g) "Secured opportunity" means the opportunity to receive a specified wildlife expo permit that is secured by an eligible applicant through the exposition drawing process.

(h) "Successful applicant" means an individual selected to receive a wildlife expo permit through the drawing process.

R657-55-3. Wildlife Expo Permit Allocation.

(1) The Wildlife Board may allocate wildlife expo permits by May 1 of the year preceding the wildlife exposition.

(2) Wildlife expo permits shall be issued as a single series to one conservation organization.

(3) The number of wildlife expo permits authorized by the Wildlife Board shall be based on:

(a) the species population trend, size, and distribution to protect the long-term health of the population;

(b) the hunting and viewing opportunity for the general public, both short and long term; and

(c) a percentage of the permits available to nonresidents in the annual big game drawings matched by a proportionate number of resident permits.

(4) Wildlife expo permits, including special nonresident expo permits, shall not exceed 200 total permits.

(5) Wildlife expo permits designated for the exposition each year shall be deducted from the number of public drawing permits.

R657-55-4. Obtaining Authority to Distribute Wildlife Expo Permit Series.

(1)(a) Except as provided in Subsection (b), the wildlife expo permit series is issued for a period of five years.

(b) For expo contracts governing the 2017 expo, and all expo contracts thereafter, the original five year term may be extended an additional period not to exceed five years, so long as:

(i) the division and conservation organization mutually agree in writing to an extension; and

(ii) the contract extension is approved by the Wildlife Board.

(2) The wildlife expo permit series is available to eligible conservation organizations for distribution through a drawing or other random selection process held at a wildlife exposition in Utah open to the public.

(3) Conservation organizations may apply for the wildlife expo permit series by sending an application to the division between August 1 and September 1 of the year preceding the expiration of each wildlife exposition term, as provide in R657-55-4(1).

(4) Each application must include:

(a) the name, address and telephone number of the conservation organization;

(b) a description of the conservation organization's mission statement;

(c) the name of the president or other individual responsible for the administrative operations of the conservation organization; and

(d) a detailed business plan describing how the wildlife exposition will take place and how the wildlife expo permit drawing procedures will be carried out.

(5) An incomplete or incorrect application may be rejected.

(6) The division shall recommend to the Wildlife Board which conservation organization may receive the wildlife expo permit series based on:

(a) the business plan for the wildlife exposition and drawing procedures contained in the application; and

(b) the conservation organization's, including its constituent entities, ability, including past performance in marketing conservation permits under Rule R657-41, to effectively plan and complete the wildlife exposition.

(7) The Wildlife Board shall make the final assignment of the wildlife expo permit series based on the:

(a) division's recommendation;

(b) applicant conservation organization's commitment to use expo permit handling fee revenue to benefit protected wildlife in Utah;

(c) historical contribution of the applicant conservation organization, including its constituent entities, to the conservation of wildlife in Utah; and

(d) previous performance of the applicant conservation organization, including its constituent entities.

(8) The conservation organization receiving the wildlife expo permit series must:

(a) require each wildlife expo permit applicant to possess a current Utah hunting or combination license before applying for a wildlife expo permit;

(b) select successful applicants for wildlife convention permits by drawing or other random selection process in accordance with law, provisions of this rule, proclamation, and order of the Wildlife Board;

(c) allow applicants to apply for wildlife expo permits without purchasing admission to the wildlife exposition;

(d) notify the division of the successful applicant of each wildlife expo permit within 10 days of the applicant's selection;

(e) maintain records demonstrating that the drawing was conducted fairly; and

(f) submit to an annual wildlife exposition audit by a division appointed auditor.

(9) The division shall issue the appropriate wildlife expo permit to the designated successful applicant after:

(a) completion of the random selection process;

(b) verification of the recipient being eligible for the permit; and

(c) payment of the appropriate permit fee is received by the division.

(10) The division and the conservation organization receiving the wildlife expo permit series shall enter into a contract, including the provisions outlined in this rule.

(11) If the conservation organization awarded the wildlife expo permit series withdraws before the end of the 5 year period or any extension period under R657-55-4(1)(b), any remaining co-participant with the conservation organization may be given an opportunity to assume the contract and to distribute the expo permit series consistent with the contract and this rule for the remaining years in the applicable period, provided:

(a) The original contracted conservation organization submits a certified letter to the division identifying that it will no longer be participating in the exposition.

(b) The partner or successor conservation organization files an application with the division as provided in Subsection (4) for the remaining period.

(c) The successor conservation organization submits its application request at least 60 days prior to the next scheduled exposition so that the wildlife board can evaluate the request under the criteria in this section.

(d) The Wildlife Board authorizes the successor conservation organization to assume the contract and complete the balance of the expo permit series period.

(12) The division may suspend or terminate the conservation organization's authority to distribute wildlife expo permits at any time during the original five year award term or any extension period for:

(a) violating any of the requirements set forth in this rule or the contract; or

(b) failing to bring or organize a wildlife exposition in Utah, as described in the business plan under R657-55-4(4)(d), in any given year.

R657-55-5. Wildlife Expo Permit Application Procedures.

(1) Any person legally eligible to hunt in Utah may apply for a wildlife expo permit, except that only a nonresident of Utah may apply for a special nonresident expo permit.

(2) Any handling fee assessed by the conservation organization to process applications shall not exceed \$5 per application submitted.

(3)(a) Except as provided in Subsection (3)(b), applicants must validate their application in person at the wildlife exposition to be eligible to participate in the wildlife expo permit drawing.

(i) No person may submit an application in behalf of another.

(ii) A person may validate their wildlife expo permit application at the exposition without having to enter the exposition and pay the admission charge.

(b) An applicant that is a member of the United States Armed Forces and unable to attend the wildlife exposition as a result of being deployed or mobilized in the interest of national defense or a national emergency is not required to validate their application in person; provided exposition administrators are furnished a copy of the written deployment or mobilization orders and the orders identify:

(i) the branch of the United States Armed forces from which the applicant is deployed or mobilized;

(3) The division shall provide each successful applicant a letter indicating the permit secured in the drawing, the appropriate fee owed the division, and the date the fee is due.

(4)(a) Successful applicants must provide the permit fee payment in full to the division.

(b) Subject to the limitation in Subsection (8), the division will issue the designated wildlife expo permit to the applicant.

(5) Residents will pay resident permit fees and nonresidents will pay nonresident permit fees.

(6) Applicants are eligible to obtain only one permit per species, except as provided in Rule R657-5, but no restrictions apply on obtaining permits for multiple species.

(7) If an applicant is selected for more than one expo permit for the same species, the division will contact the applicant to determine which permit the applicant selects.

(a) The applicant must select the permit of choice within five days of receiving notification.

(b) If the division is unable to contact the applicant within 5 days, the division will issue to the applicant the permit with the most difficult drawings odds based on drawing results from the division's big game drawing for the preceding year.

(c) Permits not issued to the applicant will go to the next person on the alternate drawing list for that permit.

(8) Any successful applicant who fails to satisfy the following requirements will be ineligible to receive the wildlife expo permit and the next drawing alternate for that permit will be selected:

(a) The applicant fails to return the appropriate permit fee in full by the date provided in Subsection (3);

(b) The applicant does not possess a valid Utah hunting or combination license at the time the expo permit application was submitted and the permit received; or

(c) The applicant is legally ineligible to possess the permit.

R657-55-8. Surrender or Transfer of Wildlife Expo Permits.

(1)(a) A person selected to receive a wildlife expo permit that is also successful in obtaining a Utah limited entry permit for the same species in the same year or successful in obtaining a general permit for a male animal of the same species in the same year, may not possess both permits and must select the permit of choice.

(b) In the event a secured opportunity is willingly surrendered before the permit is issued, the next eligible applicant on the alternate drawing list will be selected to receive the permit.

(c) In the event the wildlife expo permit is surrendered, the next eligible applicant on the alternate drawing list for that permit will be selected to receive it, and the permit fee may be refunded, as provided in Sections 23-19-38, 23-19-38.2, and R657-42-5.

(2) A person selected by a conservation organization to receive a wildlife expo permit, may not sell or transfer the permit, or any rights thereunder to another person in accordance with Section 23-19-1.

(3) If a person is successful in obtaining a wildlife expo permit but is legally ineligible to hunt in Utah, the next eligible applicant on the alternate drawing list for that permit will be selected to receive it.

R657-55-9. Using a Wildlife Expo Permit.

(1) A wildlife expo permit allows the recipient to:

- (a) take only the species for which the permit is issued;
- (b) take only the species and sex printed on the permit;
- (c) take the species only in the area and during the season specified on the permit; and
- (d) take the species only with the weapon type specified on the permit.

(2) The recipient of a wildlife expo permit is subject to all of the provisions of Title 23, Wildlife Resources Code, and the rules and proclamations of the Wildlife Board for taking and pursuing wildlife.

R657-55-10. Wildlife Expo Permit -- Application Fee Revenue.

(1) All wildlife expo permit, application fee revenue generated by the conservation organization under R657-55-5(2) will be deposited in a separate, federally insured account to prevent commingling with any other funds.

(a) All interest earned on application fee revenue may be retained and used by the conservation organization for administrative expenses.

(2) The conservation organization may retain up to \$3.50 of each \$5.00 application fee for administrative expenses.

(3) The remaining balance of each \$5.00 application fee will be used by the conservation organization to fund projects advancing wildlife interests in the state, subject to the following:

(a) project funding will not be committed to or expended on any project without first obtaining the division director's written approval;

(b) cash donations to the Wildlife Habitat Account created under Section 23-19-43 or Division Species Enhancement Funds are authorized projects that do not require the division director's approval; and

(c) application fee revenue dedicated to funding projects must be completely expended on or committed to approved projects by September 1st, two years following the year in which the application fee revenue is collected, unless otherwise authorized in writing by the division director.

(4) All records and receipts for projects under Subsection (3) must be retained by the conservation organization for a period not less than five years, and shall be produced to the division for inspection upon request.

(5) The conservation organization shall submit a report to the division and Wildlife Board each year no later than September 1st that accounts for and documents the following:

- (a) gross revenue generated from collecting \$5 wildlife expo permit application fees;
- (b) total amount of application fee revenue retained for administrative expenses;
- (c) total amount of application fee revenue set aside and dedicated to funding projects; and
- (d) description of each project funded with application fee revenue, including the date of funding, the amount of funding contributed, and the completion status of the project.

KEY

Date of Enactment or Last Substantive Amendment

Notice of Continuation

Authorizing, Implemented, or Interpreted Law

23-14-18; 23-14-19

Attachment 2

	Sportsmen for Fish & Wildlife	FY Approved	Source	Approved	Complete
3149	Black Mesa Pond Cleaning	2014	SFW Expo	\$ 9,180.00	
3092	Buckskin and 5 Mile Catchment Apron Repairs	2013	SFW Expo	\$ 14,148.00	
3151	David Edwards Fencing Project	2014	SFW Expo		\$48,219.60
3146	Mule Deer Transplants FY13-14	2013	SFW Expo		\$106,430.80
3156	Pahvant Deer Translocation from Parowan Front	2014	SFW Expo		\$59,655.00
3186	Pahvant spring rehabilitation	2014	SFW Expo		\$13,635.00
3508	Parowan Front deer translocation	2015	SFW Expo		\$51,709.00
3161	Richfield Upland Game and Waterfowl Management Project Phase I	2014	SFW Expo	\$ 3,000.00	
3161	Richfield Upland Game and Waterfowl Management Project Phase I	2014	SFW Expo	\$ 10,000.00	
3397	Richfield Upland Game and Waterfowl Management Project Phase II	2016	SFW Expo	\$ 58,050.00	
2767	South Slope Feral Horse Gather	2014	SFW Expo		\$34,817.20
3499	South Slope Feral Horse Gather Phase II	2016	SFW Expo	\$ 40,000.00	
2633	Sowers Canyon SFW Property Habitat Improvement	2014	SFW Expo	\$ 6,125.00	
3246	Support for Congressional Sportsman's Foundation	2015	SFW Expo	\$ 50,000.00	
3236	West Vernon Phase 5: Lion Hill	2016	SFW Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
3147	Youth Recruitment and retention pheasant program	2014	SFW Expo	\$ 62,413.50	
				\$ 257,916.50	\$314,466.60

	Mule Deer Foundation	FY Approved	Source	Approved	Complete
3526	Advancing Hunting and Angling Sports	2015	MDF Expo		\$50,000.00
3512	Bruce Hall Hardware Ranch	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 24,369.15	
3281	Coal Hollow, Kane County - Phase II	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 2,500.00	
3321	Crouse Canyon Brows Plots	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 1,218.40	
3308	Dark Canyon Plateau Phase III	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
3151	David Edwards Fencing Project	2014	MDF Expo		\$48,219.60
3137	Deer Fawn/Adult Survival FY15	2015	MDF Expo		\$22,400.00
2918	Dugout Flat Reseeding	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 20,000.00	
3505	Efficacy of Translocation as a Management Tool for Urban Mule Deer in Utah	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,940.00	
3500	Gordon Creek WMA Shrub Planting	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 12,250.00	
2076	Hamlin Valley Habitat Restoration Project - Sagebrush Restoration Year I	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 10,000.00	
3187	Left Fork Stewardship Project	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 40,000.00	
2931	Little Mountain Bullhog	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 20,000.00	
3350	McMillan Spring Phase III	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 30,000.00	
2675	McMillan Springs Phase 2	2014	MDF Expo		\$5,500.00
2675	McMillan Springs Phase 2	2014	MDF Expo	\$ 16,458.02	\$16,458.02
3181	MDF Statewide Water Storage Maintenance Repair Fy15	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 15,000.00	
2805	MDF Stewardship Position FY14	2014	MDF Expo		\$30,000.00
3120	MDF Stewardship Position FY15	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 30,000.00	
3497	MDF Stewardship Position FY16	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 30,000.00	
3019	Mill Fork Wildlife Habitat Improvement Project (Phase 2)	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 2,500.00	
3498	Outdoor Adventure Days Sponsorship	2015	MDF Expo		\$5,000.00
3441	Park Valley Winter Range Bullhog	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
3234	Roughneck Vegetation Restoration (Phase II)	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
3282	Sheep Creek Phase 3: Sheep Creek North	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
2808	Stockton Shrub Planting	2014	MDF Expo		\$1,740.77
3370	Temple Fork Juniper Restoration	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 4,250.00	
3314	Timber Mountain Wildlife Drinker	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 2,000.00	
3250	Transplant trailer for deer	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 14,000.00	
3496	Volunteer Mileage Reimbursement for Urban Deer Transplant	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 2,500.00	
3236	West Vernon Phase 5: Lion Hill	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 5,000.00	
2865	Wood Hollow Fire Bitterbrush Seeding	2014	MDF Expo		\$4,591.64
3263	Yellowjacket (Farm Canyon)	2016	MDF Expo	\$ 10,000.00	
3495	Youth Education	2015	MDF Expo	\$ 25,000.00	
2814	Youth Outdoor Experience 2013	2013	MDF Expo	\$ 2,500.00	
				\$ 345,485.57	\$183,910.03