Form Name: Submission Time:

After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:

I am neutral on the amendments and recommendations.

| After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation: | I oppose the amendments and recommendations. |
|--|--|
| Do you have any additional comments about the black bear rule amendments or recommendations? | The bear harvest has already been on an increase the past several years, with depredation decreasing each year. Deer units on a predator management plan should have to show proof that bears are the reason or a substantial part of the reason the unit is below objective before we increase tags. Humans are the deers biggest predator and tags should be decreased for deer and keep the human predator in check for harvest. Much of the state is not having an increase in bear numbers and units such as the Bookcliffs are seeing less bears and this is from a person who has hunted the Bookcliffs for over 3 decades. |

| After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation: | I am neutral on the amendments and recommendations. |
|--|--|
| Do you have any additional comments about the black bear rule amendments or recommendations? | We have a cabin in argyle canyon, & have noticed hardly any deer this year compared to past years, we also had damage to our property caused by bear this year, we don't feel like the grandkids are safe to play outside, we have also seen a mountain lion and after a storm noticed their tracks right up to our cabin and onto our deck, the bear created damage on the deck as well please manage the bear and mountain lion population better in argyle canyon we miss feeling safe and enjoying watching the deer! Your bear problem person from the Price area once called , acted quickly and efficiently to trap the bear. |

Form Name: Submission Time:

After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:

I oppose the amendments and recommendations.