After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:

I oppose the amendments and recommendations.

Do you have any additional comments about the black bear rule amendments or recommendations?

I don't even understand what's changing after watching that video. Wasn't very informative so I can't agree

Form Name: Submission Time:

After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:

I support the amendments and recommendations.

After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:	I oppose the amendments and recommendations.
Do you have any additional comments about the black bear rule amendments or recommendations?	Allowing more black bears to be killed disrupts the natural way of the ecosystem. Black bear are opportunistic animals and only kill young mammals when they are in dire need of food. I believe it is completely unacceptable to kill one species of animal so that humans have enough of another species to hunt or raise as food. If humans would stop taking the land of wild animals to raise livestock there wouldn't be an issue. The bear and deer population would even itself out naturally. It's inexcusable what the human race has done to the ecosystem. People need to leave the land and animals alone and let nature take it's course.

After viewing Darren DeBloois' presentation:	I oppose the amendments and recommendations.
Do you have any additional comments about the black bear rule amendments or recommendations?	The bear harvest has already been on an increase the past several years, with depredation decreasing each year. Deer units on a predator management plan should have to show proof that bears are the reason or a substantial part of the reason the unit is below objective before we increase tags. Humans are the deers biggest predator and tags should be decreased for deer and keep the human predator in check for harvest. Much of the state is not having an increase in bear numbers and units such as the Bookcliffs are seeing less bears and this is from a person who has hunted the Bookcliffs for over 3 decades.